

Third Judicial District Court of Iowa Annual Report 2016

Index of Tables and Reports

- I. Overview by Chief Judge Duane E. Hoffmeyer, Chief Juvenile Court Officer Gary Niles and District Court Administrator Leesa A. McNeil

- II. Caseload – 2016
 - Table 1. Overall Caseload Review
 - Table 2. Criminal Filings
 - Table 3. Civil Filings
 - Table 4. Domestic Relations Filings
 - Table 5. Juvenile Filings
 - Table 6. Probate/Estate Adult Commitments
 - Table 7. Small Claims/Infractions/Other Filings
 - Table 8. Civil Trial Session Report
 - Table 9. Clerk of Court Caseload Activities
 - Table 10. Juvenile hearings – Woodbury County
 - Table 11. Juror Usage
 - Table 12. Jury Trials by County

- III. Program Information
 - Table 13. Clerk Receipts and list of fees (Table 13A)
 - Table 14. Juvenile Restitution Collected
 - Table 15. Children Cope with Divorce
 - Table 16. Juvenile Court Services
 - Table 17. Woodbury County Juror Accountability Project
 - Table 18. Program Summary
 - Table 19. Individual Assignments for district judges
 - Table 20. Population changes in Iowa April 2010-July 2015

- IV. Administrative Services Reports
 - Table 21. Personnel Allocation/Changes
 - Table 22. Miles Traveled
 - Table 23. Interpreter Report
 - Table 24. Electronic Document Management System (EDMS)

This report was prepared with the assistance of many court employees and program collaborators, including: Debbie Schmith, Staci Maxfield, Patti Robinson, Judy Martin-Alioth, Leanna Bottger, Shelly Epling, Gary Niles, Alfonso Erdmann, Rochelle Ptak, Jane Hovick, Peggy Sullivan, Mark Headlee, Kelly Harris, Jen Drum, Nancy Eickholt and the Clerks of Court for the Third Judicial District.

All data and information contained in this report is generated from the court's caseload management system – ICIS – unless otherwise noted on the individual report.

**THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT
THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT
WOODBURY COUNTY COURTHOUSE
620 DOUGLAS STREET
SIOUX CITY, IA 51101**

CHAMBERS OF
DUANE E. HOFFMEYER
CHIEF DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
712/279-6032

Court Administration
712/279-6494
FAX: 712/279-6631
Duane.Hoffmeyer@iowacourts.gov

Calendar year 2016 has been an extremely challenging year. The legislature's fiscal year allocates monies to the Judicial Branch from July 1 through June 30 annually. Accordingly, 2016 is composed of monies from the last half of FY2016 and the first half of FY2017.

In the first half of 2016, the Third Judicial District was effective in utilizing our individual assignment of judges (this allows the parties to have a judge that is familiar with their file and issues and not someone who sees the case for the first time that day); our juvenile courts were transitioning to an evidence-based protocol rather than juvenile court officers utilizing their "gut instincts," which should provide for more uniform decisions without consideration of race or gender; our therapeutic courts (adult drug court, family treatment court, mental health court, veterans' court, et cetera) were all in place and being utilized. The Third Judicial District was meeting the Supreme Court's six identified priorities: (1) protect Iowa's children; (2) provide full-time access to justice; (3) operate an efficient, full-service court system; (4) provide fast and less costly resolution of legal disputes; (5) be open and transparent; and (6) provide fair and impartial justice for all.

In the second half of 2016, things changed. For FY2017, the Judicial Branch received status quo funding, which resulted in an action plan, including: (1) establishing a hiring freeze for all vacancies in the Judicial Branch with very few exceptions; (2) holding judicial vacancies open for an average of six months; (3) reducing travel expenses by 10%; (4) reducing furniture and non-IT equipment costs by 50%; and (5) instituting a moratorium on the expansion of specialty courts.

The Third Judicial District has had ten clerk of court employees retire out of a district staffing formula allowing 83.20. I requested and received authorization to fill one position to date, and that was specifically targeting a part-time employee that we wanted to offer a full-time position. We had invested a significant amount of time and training and did not want to lose her if at all possible. We were unsuccessful.

In subdistrict 3B, a district judge position was held open for six months. Governor Branstad recently selected Julie Schumacher, a current district associate judge, to become a district court judge. However, with \$3 million deappropriated from the current FY17 budget by the legislature, her District Associate Judge position is unable to be filled until there is a better understanding of what will be the expectations for additional judicial branch savings for FY17 or our upcoming FY18 budget. Judge Schumacher has continued in her role in juvenile court, which means we will be without a district court judge for an even longer period of time. This means some district court trial dates may have to be delayed.

We have been unable to meet the 10% travel reduction in large part because the Third Judicial District is the second largest judicial district in the state and covers a large geographical area. To date, we have tried to continue the current level of service to our rural counties by utilizing savings obtained in other areas to meet our target reduction.

The Judicial Branch has constitutional and statutory requirements for seeing incarcerated defendants, holding mental health and substance abuse hearings, and domestic abuse civil no contact order hearings in a timely manner. These matters will continue having a priority status. The decisions made in 2016 are consistent with the Judicial Branch policy of prioritizing juvenile cases, domestic abuse cases, mental health and substance abuse cases, and criminal cases demanding a speedy trial.

If the Judicial Branch funding remains stagnant or is decreased, I would anticipate juvenile court officers having less face-to-face contact with at-risk kids, the potential elimination of specialty courts, part-time clerk offices, delays in processing cases, our rural counties will see fewer court service days as we focus on where our greatest number of cases/need exists, and a return to our core function.

I have not authorized the expansion of any specialty courts. The continued existence of specialty courts may also be at risk because of our partners, the Department of Human Services in Family Treatment Court and the Department of Corrections in our adult Drug and Veterans' Courts will have to determine if they will be able to staff these specialty courts with their reduced staff and funding.

Our transition to an electronic filing system has given us great flexibility, but also requires continual updating to prevent security breaches. Without continual upgrade and improvement, we will become vulnerable to cyberattacks.


Our ability to meet the Supreme Court goals is in no small part due to our employees and judges of the Third Judicial District. The employees and judges of the Third Judicial District are thoughtful, diligent, and hard-working individuals. As we have transitioned to an electronic filing system, we have trained our employees and are invested in retaining our current employees if at all possible. Hiring new people, if we were to lose our

competent and experienced employees, requires a significant investment in training time.

I thank all of the employees and judges of the Third Judicial District for your service.

If you have questions on anything contained in this letter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Duane E. Hoffmeyer". The signature is stylized with a large initial "D" and a long horizontal stroke.

Duane E. Hoffmeyer



Third Judicial District
Juvenile Court Services
Gary Niles, Chief Juvenile Court Officer
822 Douglas, Room 201
Sioux City, IA 51101
712-279-6586 Fax: 712-279-6020

Counties

Buena Vista
712-749-2564

Cherokee
712-749-2564

Clay
712-262-5938

Crawford
712-263-5442

Dickinson
712-262-5938

Emmet
712-362-5729

Ida
712-263-5442

Kossuth
515-295-7018

Lyon
712-301-3319

Monona
712-263-5442

O'Brien
712-262-5938

Osceola
712-362-5729

Palo Alto
515-295-7018

Plymouth
712-546-1642

Sioux
712-546-1642
OR
712-301-3319

Woodbury
712-279-6586

Chief Judge Hoffmeyer

February 24, 2017

Dear Judge,

As we reflect on 2016, Juvenile Court Services in the Third Judicial District has a 6% reduction in the number of law enforcement complaints filed. Over the past nine years we have witnessed a 49% reduction in complaint filings. Although these numbers are dramatic, most of the reduction is found in simple misdemeanors. Many of these reductions are the result of agreements, practices and tools that we have implemented to decriminalize many of the behaviors youth exhibit in schools and we can say that our school and law enforcement partners have truly embraced these joint best practice efforts.

In 2016 we noted a rise in the number of delinquency petitions districtwide. Any uptick in delinquency petitions is cause for concern but we believe this is not the beginning of an overall trend as petitions are still below the 8 year average of 430 per year (394 in 2016).

The Western Service Area was formed in 2010 and includes 30 counties in Western Iowa. When it began there were 185 youth in residential care and there was a waiting list that sometimes included 10 to 15 or more youth. In 2016 those same combined counties had a budget for 165 youth but placed only 115 in daily care. In 2016 our district averaged 13 youth per day at the Boys Training School in Eldora which was up three more per day than in the previous year.

Our staff is using the Effective Principles In Community Supervision model which is an evidence-based intervention strategy developed by the University of Cincinnati. This model takes several months of practice to perfect but we are on course with our implementation strategy. Each judicial district has a similar strategy that will be combined into a single, comprehensive statewide practice policy in January 2018.

Areas of continued concern raised in last year's report are the severe lack of coordinated mental health services to youth in Iowa and the lack of services to females who are high risk to re-offend. Our bed days for youth in these categories in detention continue to increase with little effort at the state level to address this need. In our districts we have seen a 24% increase in both of these categories.

In 2016 we began using Youth Team Decision Making (YTDM) conferences on all youth re-entering the community from care. This model has been most effective assisting youth in developing a comprehensive strategy to live a law abiding lifestyle. This change began as a result of the statewide Re-Entry Grant effort administered by CJJP. Numerous other efforts are being implemented in 2017 including a new group care strategy by the Department of Human Services that will deploy a "no reject-no eject" effort to try and detour multiple placements and a guaranteed payment of beds regardless of usage. It is hoped this will encourage shorter stays in care and facilitate quicker more effective re-integration to the community.

In closing, funding concerns for both JCO positions and service arrangements for youth are most critical. We hope funders, as they have in the past, can continue to envision the benefits of both judicial branch and service dollars investments that drive the future of our communities.

As always, we are very grateful for your continued leadership and support of our district's efforts.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "G. Niles", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Gary P. Niles
Chief Juvenile Court Officer
Third Judicial District Juvenile Court Services

THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF IOWA COURT ANNUAL REPORT OVERVIEW – 2016

The Third Judicial District encompasses 16 counties of northwest Iowa. Fiscal Year 2016/2017 budget challenges and de-appropriation has resulted in keeping two judgeship vacancies open and 11.25 fte staff positions unfilled. The district experienced a 0.75% decrease in overall case filings over the last year, a trend that has continued since 2008. The case types experiencing a small increase in filings include adult commitments, felony and indictable misdemeanors, delinquency and child welfare cases. Cases completed by jury trials decreased from 72 in 2015 to 65 in 2016 (43 criminal and 22 civil.) Criminal cases account for 77% of all filings in the Third Judicial District

During 2016 there were a total of 14 expedited civil actions filed. This compared to the first year for such cases, 2015, when a total of 31 expedited civil actions (ECAs) were filed. All ECA cases are set for trial within one year and jury trials are conducted following expedited processes to decrease the cost of litigation. Most ECA cases are resolved prior to trial. The Third Judicial District had no business court cases filed in 2016 and five elder abuse case filed in 2016—all in Woodbury County. The Third Judicial employed a full-time interpreter in 2015. In addition to interpreting in court, the interpreter works to arrange for all interpreters needed for hearings and trials in the district. Table 23 provides an overview of this work. Started in 2015, the Veteran’s Treatment Court operating in Woodbury County had its first graduate in 2016.

The year-end marked the sixth anniversary of the individual assignment system for handling civil case assignments for district judges. A first, there were no civil cases that were not reached in 2016 – though there were continuances at a rate of 27% of all civil cases scheduled. In addition, the Electronic Document Management System (EDMS) journey that started in Plymouth County in 2010 came to completion in Iowa mid-2015 when all counties accepted e-filings. The Third Judicial District completed implementation in July, 2013.

Court reporters and judges traveled less in FY16 than FY15; juvenile court officers and clerks traveled more in FY16 than FY15. The travel cuts during FY17 will change the amount of travel for all court employees. District judges tried or settled 73% of civil cases assigned to them under the individual assignment system and continued 27% continued. Clerks receipted \$39,039,849.63 during FY16 up 3.2% from FY15.

The Third Judicial District operates many therapeutic courts to address special needs of many litigants (see Table 18 for a complete listing and information about caseloads of each.) District efforts to address substance abuse continue with adult/juvenile, veteran and family drug courts. A mental health court operating in Woodbury County continues to attract attention for its effectiveness in helping defendants get the assistance they need outside of the criminal justice system. In addition, work continues on “cross-over cases” –a project introduced in 2008 with the CYPM (Cross-over Youth Practice Model) grant project to improve outcomes for youth involved in both child welfare and delinquency cases. Efforts to address disparity continue in Woodbury County; the grant-funded efforts for the Juvenile Detention Alternative Initiative (JDAI) in Woodbury County concluded in 2012. The changes made as a result of that effort to screen youth for risk and need for detention continues to be utilized to manage the detention population. The work and effort that goes into such cases is not always conveyed in the caseload data for such cases. In addition, much work has been undertaken to divert youth from the formal court process to more appropriate programs and community resources. DHS implemented differential response in 2014. Child welfare filings did increase from 2015 to 2016. District judges ordered mediation on 170 family law cases in 2016—up from 154 cases in 2015. In 2016, the court began collaborating with community partners in Woodbury County to improve practice on domestic violence cases. Most counties in the Third Judicial District continue to lose domestic population while gaining in international population (see Table 20.)

Standardized clerk of court case processing procedures were implemented January 1, 2001. This has increased the reliability of filing data reported in this report. The court adopted a uniform standard for counting juvenile cases in 2001 and required all clerks to count individual children as a case instead of a practice of counting families as had been used in many counties. Caseload data for this report was gathered at the end of February, 2016. The 16 counties of the Third Judicial District are represented by a two-letter identification throughout this report (county number also included):

Buena Vista - BV (11)	Lyon - LY (60)
Cherokee - CH (18)	Monona - MO (67)
Clay - CL (21)	O’Brien - OB (71)
Crawford - CR (24)	Osceola - OS (72)
Dickinson - DK (30)	Palo Alto - PA (74)
Emmet - EM (32)	Plymouth - PL (75)
Ida - ID (47)	Sioux - SX (84)
Kossuth - KS (55)	Woodbury - WD (97)


Caseload Review - 2016

(Compiled from clerks statistical ICIS data)

	2016 Filed/ reopened	% of Total		2015 Filed/ reopened	% of Total	% change 2015 to 2016
Civil - law & equity	2437	3.00%	Civil Law & Equity	2507	3.00%	-0.08%
Civil - dom relations	3513	3.32%	Domestic Relations	3753	3.32%	-0.29%
Civil - probate	1917	2.35%	Probate	1971	2.35%	-0.06%
Civil - adult commits	1855	2.09%	Adult Commitments	1752	2.09%	0.12%
Civil - small claims	7037	8.43%	Small Claims	7056	8.43%	-0.02%
Criminal - felony	2086	2.14%	Felony	1795	2.14%	0.35%
Criminal - indict msd	5178	6.01%	Indictable Criminal Misd.	5033	6.01%	0.17%
Crim - simple msdem	56970	68.97%	Simple Misd	57725	68.97%	-0.90%
Juvenile - delinq	443	0.42%	Juv Delinquency	354	0.42%	0.11%
Juv-Cina/TPR/PN/Fina	1059	1.26%	CINA/Term/PatNot/FINA	1051	1.26%	0.01%
Juvenile commitments	220	0.32%	Juv Commitments	270	0.32%	-0.06%
Civil infractions	351	0.51%	Civil Infractions	430	0.51%	-0.09%
TOTAL Filings-2015	83066	100.00%	Total Filings-2014	83697	100.00%	-0.75%

The new case filings listed above do not include :

	2016	2015	% Change 2015 to 2016
Criminal Contempts	5736	3246	76.71%
Probation Revocations	4206	1475	84.13%



From 2003 to 2004 filings decreased by 3,706 cases or 3% overall.
 From 2004 to 2005 filings decreased by 4,984 cases or 4% overall.
 From 2005 to 2006 filings decreased by 5,332 cases or 4.6% overall.
 From 2006 to 2007 filings decreased by 3,776 cases or 3.2 % overall.
 From 2007 to 2008 filings increased by 301 cases or 1.0% overall.
 From 2008 to 2009 filings decreased by 5,049 or 4.3% overall.
 From 2009 to 2010 filings decreased by 12,569 cases or 11.1% overall.
 From 2010 to 2011 filings increased by 2,094 or 2.1%--with small increases in indictable and simple misdemeanors, adult commitments, juvenile CINA and terminations and civil infractions
 From 2011 to 2012 filings decreased by 3.5% overall. There were small increases in juvenile del, juv commitments, civil infractions and ind misd filings
 From 2012 to 2013 filings decreased 6.2% overall
 From 2013 to 2014 filings decreased 3.2%.
 From 2014 to 2015 filings decreased by 6.7%
 From 2015 to 2016 filings decreased 0.75%

Table 1 (p. 2 of 2)

Overall Filings-Third Judicial District - 2016																	
Law & equity	Dom Reis	Probate	Adult Commit	Sm Claims	Felony	Indict Misd	Simp Misd	Juv Del	Cina/TPRP N/Fina	Juv Commit	Civil Inf	2016 Total	%	# ECA cases filed	%	2015 filings	% change filings 2014 to 2016
Buena Vista	180	133	65	430	111	336	2954	50	78	20	35	4,514	5.43%	0	0.00%	4,685	-3.65%
Cherokee	104	109	53	332	68	185	1724	20	29	10	0	2,712	3.26%	0	0.00%	2,788	-2.73%
Clay	217	106	139	436	141	291	2989	37	55	18	6	4,587	5.52%	0	0.00%	5,538	-17.17%
Crawford	180	136	47	316	84	186	2223	18	48	16	23	3,386	4.08%	5	35.71%	3,379	0.21%
Dickinson	130	115	109	412	132	283	4493	19	34	18	1	5,907	7.11%	0	0.00%	5,434	8.70%
Emmet	94	67	31	291	71	145	1182	7	47	8	0	2,028	2.44%	0	0.00%	2,571	-21.12%
Ida	60	76	18	119	34	111	1262	1	25	4	4	1,756	2.11%	0	0.00%	1,639	7.14%
Kossuth	121	107	32	188	51	104	1585	9	30	10	2	2,316	2.79%	0	0.00%	2,008	15.34%
Lyon	52	52	10	164	44	104	2112	8	23	0	2	2,653	3.19%	0	0.00%	3,221	-17.63%
Monona	80	91	35	155	77	212	3350	1	27	9	5	4,104	4.94%	1	7.14%	3,129	31.16%
O'Brien	116	114	42	228	29	124	1840	10	33	9	9	2,629	3.16%	1	7.14%	2,995	-12.22%
Oscola	56	44	14	159	32	96	1657	6	18	5	39	2,165	2.61%	0	0.00%	2,202	-1.68%
Palo Alto	59	69	40	195	44	94	1,474	14	20	9	3	2,078	2.50%	0	0.00%	2,260	-8.05%
Plymouth	238	148	64	389	90	315	4,345	37	87	9	2	5,871	7.07%	1	7.14%	5,608	4.69%
Sioux	161	134	30	291	108	256	4,834	21	49	4	16	6,026	7.25%	1	7.14%	6,824	-11.69%
Woodbury	1,665	416	1,126	2,932	970	2,336	18,946	185	456	71	204	30,334	36.52%	5	35.71%	29,258	3.68%
2016 Total	3,513	1,917	1,855	7,037	2,086	5,178	56,970	443	1,059	220	351	83,066	100.00%	14	100.00%	83,697	-0.75%
% of 2016	4.23%	2.31%	2.23%	8.47%	2.51%	6.23%	68.58%	0.53%	1.27%	0.26%	0.42%	100.00%					
2015 Total	3,753	1,971	1,752	7,056	1,795	5,033	57,725	354	1,051	270	430	83,697					
% change 2015 to 2016	-6.39%	-2.74%	5.88%	-0.27%	16.21%	2.88%	-1.31%	25.14%	0.76%	-18.52%	-18.37%	-0.75%					
Filing Trend:	2002	131,811															
	2003	125,848															
	2004	122,142															
	2005	117,158															
	2006	111,826															
	2007	117,720															
	2008	118,021															
	2009	112,972															
	2010	100,403															
	2011	102,497															
	2012	98,865															
	2013	92,652															
	2014	89,700															
	2015	83,697															
	2016	83,066															

(Uniform standards for filing data were implemented Jan 1, 2001)

From 2001 to 2009 filings have decreased 9.9%.
 From 2009 to 2010 filings decreased 11.1%.
 From 2010 to 2011 filings increased by 2.1%.
 From 2011 to 2012 filings decreased by 3.5%.
 From 2012 to 2013 filings decreased by 6.2%.
 From 2013 to 2014 filings decreased by 3.2%.
 From 2014 to 2015 filings decreased by 6.7%.
 From 2015 to 2016 filings decreased by 0.8%

**CRIMINAL FILINGS - 2016
JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3A**

Table 2 p 1 of 2

	BV	CH	CL	DK	EM	KS	LY	OB	OS	PA	3A Sub-Dist. Total	% of Sub-Dist. 3A	
FELONIES													
Sex Assault	10	5	1	4	3	2	3	0	0	1	29	0.12%	
Dom Abuse Assault	2	2	4	5	7	1	1	3	0	0	25	0.10%	
Other Violent	12	2	7	13	7	1	2	2	0	6	52	0.21%	
Property (B & T)	1	5	1	8	3	3	1	0	1	3	26	0.11%	
Drugs	11	19	45	30	13	8	11	5	4	9	155	0.63%	
OWI 3rd +	8	7	5	12	3	1	3	3	4	3	49	0.20%	
Other Non-Violent	67	28	78	60	35	35	23	16	23	22	387	1.58%	
Total Felonies	111	68	141	132	71	51	44	29	32	44	723	2.95%	
INDICT. MISD.													
Dom Abuse Assault	32	10	18	17	18	10	8	14	2	8	137	0.56%	
Other Violent	25	26	17	29	24	7	10	7	1	6	152	0.62%	
Property	0	12	3	18	4	14	7	6	3	2	69	0.28%	
Drugs	75	36	87	33	20	21	35	15	28	19	369	1.51%	
OWI 2nd	13	17	17	29	11	9	8	12	7	9	132	0.54%	
OWI 1st	60	43	55	99	27	15	24	35	38	27	423	1.73%	
Driv w lic Rev/Bar	28	14	33	34	14	11	2	18	10	10	174	0.71%	
Other Non-Viol IndM	103	27	61	24	27	17	10	17	7	13	306	1.25%	
Total Indict. Misd.	336	185	291	283	145	104	104	124	96	94	1,762	7.19%	
SIMPLE MISD.													
Dom Abuse Assault	49	9	19	24	4	10	3	15	6	4	143	0.58%	
State Traffic - 321	2,069	1,131	2,051	2,616	637	1,072	1,902	1,513	1,521	1,104	15,616	63.75%	
Other State	621	164	367	877	233	149	140	216	122	188	3,077	12.56%	
Ordinance	215	420	552	976	308	354	67	96	8	178	3,174	12.96%	
Total Simp Misd.	2,954	1,724	2,989	4,493	1,182	1,585	2,112	1,840	1,657	1,474	22,010	89.86%	
Total Criminal	3,401	1,977	3,421	4,908	1,398	1,740	2,260	1,993	1,785	1,612	24,495	100.00%	
% of 3A	14%	8%	14%	20%	6%	7%	9%	8%	7%	7%	100%		
% of District	5%	3%	5%	8%	2%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	38%		
											OWI Filings-District	2002	2,903
												2003	2,670
												2004	2,668
												2005	2,660
												2006	2,466
												2007	2,223
Commencing July 1, 2006, the Judicial Branch no longer counted contempts/prob revocations as re-opened (filed) cases.											2008	2,476	
											2009	2,201	
											2010	1,990	
											2011	1,745	
											2012	1,949	
											2013	1,790	
											2014	1,733	
											2015	1,726	
											2016	1,729	
SOURCE OF DATA: Iowa Court Information System Caseload Statistical Reports													

The new case filings listed above do not include :

	2016	2015	% Change 2015 to 2016
Criminal Contempts	5736	3246	76.71%
Probation Revocations	4206	1475	84.13%

Table 2
Page 2 of 2

**CRIMINAL FILINGS - 2016
JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3B**

	CR	ID	MO	PL	SX	WD	3B Sub-Dist Total	% of Sub-Dist. 3B	District Total	% of District
FELONIES										
Sex Assault	9	4	2	3	11	19	48	0.12%	77	0.12%
Dom Abuse Assault	3	1	0	2	2	26	34	0.09%	59	0.09%
Other Violent	8	1	12	4	6	52	83	0.21%	135	0.21%
Property (B & T)	1	2	2	4	1	15	25	0.06%	51	0.08%
Drugs	26	6	22	21	24	180	279	0.70%	434	0.68%
OWI 3rd +	2	3	4	9	8	55	81	0.20%	130	0.20%
Other Non-Violent	35	17	35	47	56	623	813	2.05%	1,200	1.87%
Total Felonies	84	34	77	90	108	970	1,363	3.43%	2,086	3.25%
INDICT. MISD.										
Dom Abuse Assault	7	5	10	21	12	141	196	0.49%	333	0.52%
Other Violent	18	7	13	13	17	160	228	0.57%	380	0.59%
Property	4	1	5	15	3	103	131	0.33%	200	0.31%
Drugs	58	22	69	83	55	487	774	1.95%	1,143	1.78%
OWI 2nd	2	8	24	22	18	129	203	0.51%	335	0.52%
OWI 1st	34	37	48	72	87	530	808	2.03%	1,231	1.92%
Driv w lic Rev/Bar	22	24	21	58	23	323	471	1.19%	645	1.00%
Other Non-Violent	41	7	22	31	41	463	605	1.52%	911	1.42%
Total Indict. Misd.	186	111	212	315	256	2,336	3,416	8.60%	5,178	8.06%
SIMPLE MISD.										
Dom Abuse Assault	19	15	23	25	15	228	325	0.82%	468	0.73%
State Traffic - 321	1,406	1,090	2,906	2,446	4,092	9,801	21,741	54.71%	37,357	58.16%
Other State	334	151	288	301	309	2,962	4,345	10.93%	7,422	11.55%
Ordinance	464	6	133	1,573	418	5,955	8,549	21.51%	11,723	18.25%
Total Simp Misd.	2,223	1,262	3,350	4,345	4,834	18,946	34,960	87.97%	56,970	88.69%
Total Criminal	2,493	1,407	3,639	4,750	5,198	22,252	39,739	100.00%	64,234	100.00%
% of 3B	6%	4%	9%	12%	13%	56%	100%			
% of District	4%	2%	6%	7%	8%	35%	62%			
Filings decreased 28% from 2007 to 2016 and increased 2.3% from 2015 to 2016 -- attributable to a small increase in all criminal casetypes.										
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Felonies	2,086	1,795	1,653	1,787	1,539	1,580	1,692	1,794	1,815	1,807
Indict	5,178	5,033	5,050	5,209	5,281	5,213	5,137	5,401	5,562	5,557
Simp Misd	56,970	57,708	63,025	65,580	63,036	72,773	78,012	87,787	84,007	84,785
Total	64,234	64,536	69,728	72,576	69,856	79,566	84,841	94,982	91,384	92,149

Table 3
Page 1 of 2

**CIVIL FILINGS - 2016
JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3A**

	BV	CH	CL	DK	EM	KS	LY	OB	OS	PA	3A Sub-Dist. Total	% of Sub-Dist. 3A
LAW: TORT CLMS												
PI - Malpractice	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.11%
PI - Motor Veh	10	6	10	14	0	4	4	2	3	2	55	5.93%
PI - Premises	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	8	0.86%
PI - Prod Liab/Toxic	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.11%
PI - Other Negl/Intent	2	2	4	7	3	1	0	1	0	1	21	2.26%
Prof. Malp(No PI)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
Prop/Fin Dam (No PI)	13	0	5	9	3	6	1	3	0	3	43	4.63%
LAW: CONT/COMMS												
Cont-Debt Coll	33	20	60	56	45	22	33	27	14	14	324	34.91%
Fraud/Misrepresent	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0.54%
Employ Claim	2	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	7	0.75%
Other Cont/Comms	3	0	6	9	4	1	1	2	2	2	30	3.23%
EQUITY												
Mortgage Foreclose	20	16	19	11	8	12	9	17	4	7	123	13.25%
Other Real Property	5	4	4	10	1	3	1	0	3	4	35	3.77%
Other Equity	13	6	9	13	5	5	6	11	7	8	83	8.94%
OTHER CIVIL												
Adm App to Dist. Ct	4	8	7	8	3	7	4	3	2	4	50	5.39%
Dist. Warr	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4	0.43%
Foreign Judgments	1	1	0	6	1	3	18	1	1	1	33	3.56%
Liens	7	4	8	2	8	7	3	3	2	2	46	4.96%
Post Conv. Relief	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	1	0	1	8	0.86%
Other Actions	8	4	16	12	2	3	1	1	0	4	51	5.50%
Total Reg Civil	122	78	152	161	85	77	82	75	39	57	928	100.00%
% of 3A	13%	8%	16%	17%	9%	8%	9%	8%	4%	6%	100%	
% of District	5%	3%	6%	7%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	38%	
3A civil filings decreased 23.9% from 2011 to 2012 and 12% from 2012 to 2013.												
3A civil filings remained near the same level from 2013 to 2014 with just a 0.5% decrease.												
3A civil filings decreased 5.1% from 2014 to 2015 and decreased 4.9% from 2015 to 2016..												
Expedited Civil Actions filed in 2016												
CR=5												
MO=1												
OB=1												
PL=1												
SX=1												
WD=5												
Total=14												
One jury trial on an ECA case was held in 2016.												
SOURCE OF DATA: Iowa Court Information System Caseload Statistical Reports												

Table 3
Page 2 of 2

**CIVIL FILINGS - 2016
JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3B**

	CR	ID	MO	PL	SX	WD	3B Sub-Dist. Total	% of Sub-Dist. 3B	District Total	% of District
LAW: TORT CLMS										
PI - Malpractice	2	0	0	2	1	5	10	0.66%	11	0.45%
PI - Motor Veh	9	1	5	11	8	69	103	6.83%	158	6.48%
PI - Premises	0	0	0	0	0	11	11	0.73%	19	0.78%
PI - Prod Liab/Toxic	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.07%	2	0.08%
PI - Other Negl/Intent	2	0	2	3	4	12	23	1.52%	44	1.81%
Prof. Malp(No PI)	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0.13%	2	0.08%
Prop/Fin Dam (No PI)	2	1	0	13	2	22	40	2.65%	83	3.41%
LAW: CONT/COMMS										
Cont-Debt Coll	23	13	12	39	41	272	400	26.51%	724	29.71%
Fraud/Misreprent	3	0	0	0	0	4	7	0.46%	12	0.49%
Employ Claim	4	1	0	0	3	5	13	0.86%	20	0.82%
Other Cont/Comms	2	1	1	4	5	21	34	2.25%	64	2.63%
EQUITY										
Mortgage Foreclose	15	7	7	27	18	153	227	15.04%	350	14.36%
Other Real Property	7	1	1	4	1	14	28	1.86%	63	2.59%
Other Equity	17	8	14	18	17	78	152	10.07%	235	9.64%
OTHER CIVIL										
Adm App to Dist. Ct	6	0	6	4	4	54	74	4.90%	124	5.09%
Dist. Warr	0	0	0	2	2	0	4	0.27%	8	0.33%
Foreign Judgments	2	1	3	2	7	66	81	5.37%	114	4.68%
Liens	5	4	5	6	7	78	105	6.96%	151	6.20%
Post Conv. Relief	2	0	0	3	1	19	25	1.66%	33	1.35%
Other Actions	8	4	6	9	1	141	169	11.20%	220	9.03%
Total Reg Civil	109	42	62	147	122	1027	1509	100.00%	2437	100.00%
% of 3B		3%	4%	10%	8%	68%	100%			
% of District		2%	3%	6%	5%	42%	62%			
3B civil filings decreased 13.0% from 2011 to 2012, 3.4% from 2012 to 2013, 9.8% from 2013 to 2014 and 6.2% from 2014 to 2015. No business court cases were filed in 2016.										
District totals:			2007	3717					Civil filings increased 10.8% from 2006 to 2007.	
			2008	3978					Civil filings increased 7.0% from 2007 to 2008	
(Increase in debt collection cases)			2009	4318					Civil filings increased 8.5% from 2008 to 2009.	
The decrease occurred in 3A.			2010	4041					Civil filings decreased 6.4% from 2009 to 2010.	
Decreases in both sub-districts			2011	3594					Civil filings decreased 11.1% from 2010 to 2011.	
Decreases in both sub-districts			2012	3041					Civil filings decreased 8.3% from 2011 to 2012.	
Decreases in both sub-districts			2013	2843					Civil filings decreased 6.5% from 2012 to 2013.	
									Decreases in mortgage foreclosures and liens from 2012 to 2013.	
Decrease occurred in 3B.			2014	2660					Civil filings decreased 6.4% from 2013 to 2014.	
									31.6% decrease in mortgage foreclosures 2013 to 2014.	
Decreases in both sub-districts.			2015	2507					Civil filings decreased 5.8% from 2014 to 2015.	
			2016	2437					Civil filings decreased 2.8% from 2015 to 2016.	

Table 4 page 1 of 2
DOMESTIC RELATIONS FILINGS - 2016
JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3A

											3A	% of
											Sub-Dist.	Sub-Dist.
	BV	CH	CL	DK	EM	KS	LY	OB	OS	PA	Total	3A
Diss w/child(ren)	56	26	52	33	28	30	17	27	22	16	307	27.19%
Diss w/no child	33	19	32	33	11	25	9	20	8	11	201	17.80%
Mod w/child(ren)	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	8	0.71%
Mod w/no child	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.09%
Dom Abuse (236)	12	7	38	15	9	10	8	18	7	6	130	11.51%
235F Elder Abuse	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
Foster Care (234)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0.18%
252A Support	0	3	2	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	13	1.15%
For. Supp. (252A.18)	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	7	0.62%
252B.11-Cost recv.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
Admin. Order (252C)	25	18	27	10	10	23	1	11	2	5	132	11.69%
Income Withhold(252D)	8	2	0	1	1	2	1	0	1	0	16	1.42%
Med Supp (252E)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.09%
Paternity (252F/600B)	10	15	24	13	17	13	1	8	1	6	108	9.57%
UFSA (252K)	0	0	8	7	6	3	3	7	6	2	42	3.72%
Out/State Cust(598B)	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.27%
Adoption (600)	12	7	16	5	5	8	7	17	6	6	89	7.88%
Other Dom. Relations	20	6	10	7	5	4	4	4	3	6	69	6.11%
TOTAL	180	104	217	130	94	121	52	116	56	59	1129	100.00%
% of 3A	16%	9%	19%	12%	8%	11%	5%	10%	5%	5%	100%	
% of District	5%	3%	6%	4%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	32%	
District historical trend:											2005	4,773
											2006	4,309
3A filings decreased 7.0% from 2011 to 2012, increased 2.1% from 2012 to 2013,											2007	4,172
decreased 2.8% from 2013 to 2014, 4.6% from 2014 to 2015 and 6.5% from 2015 to 2016.											2008	4,138
											2009	4,321
District-wide filings decreased 9.3% from 2011 to 2012, increased 7% from 2012 to 2013,											2010	4,340
increased 5.1% from 2013 to 2014 and decreased 6.6% from 2014 to 2015.											2011	3,927
											2012	3,562
											2013	3,819
SOURCE OF DATA: Iowa Court Information System Caseload Statistical Reports											2014	4,012
											2015	3,748
											2016	3,503

Table 4
Page 2 of 2

**DOMESTIC RELATIONS FILINGS - 2016
JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3B**

	CR	ID	MO	PL	SX	WD	3B Sub-Dist. Total	% of Sub-Dist. 3B	District Total	% of District
Diss w/child(ren)	52	15	14	82	53	299	515	21.60%	822	23.40%
Diss w/no child	17	7	13	25	30	195	287	12.04%	488	13.89%
Mod w/child(ren)	2	4	1	1	5	20	33	1.38%	41	1.17%
Mod w/no child	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.04%	2	0.06%
Dom Abuse (236)	28	7	16	24	18	252	345	14.47%	475	13.52%
235F Elder Abuse	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	0.21%	5	0.37%
Foster Care (234)	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.04%	3	0.09%
252A Support	7	1	1	10	4	54	77	3.23%	90	2.56%
For. Supt. (252A.18)	0	0	2	0	0	8	10	0.42%	17	0.48%
Cost Recov (252B.11)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Admin. Order (252C)	24	5	9	27	13	256	334	14.01%	466	13.27%
Income Withhold(252D)	3	1	3	3	0	57	67	2.81%	83	2.36%
Med Supp (252E)	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.04%	2	0.06%
Paternity (252F/600B)	29	7	15	27	10	235	323	13.55%	431	12.27%
UFSA (252K)	3	0	0	0	5	0	8	0.34%	50	1.42%
Out/State Cust(598B)	0	0	0	5	0	8	13	0.55%	16	0.46%
Adoption (600)	6	4	4	8	17	102	141	5.91%	230	6.55%
Other Dom. Relations	9	9	2	26	6	171	223	9.35%	292	8.31%
TOTAL	180	60	80	238	161	1665	2384	100.00%	3513	100.00%
% of 3B	7.55%	2.52%	3.36%	9.98%	6.75%	69.84%	100.00%			
% of District	5%	2%	2%	7%	5%	47%	68%			
3B filings decreased 10.5% from 2011 to 2012 but increased 9.8% from 2012 to 2013.										
From 2013 to 2014, filings in 3B increased 8.8% - largely attributable to Admin Order (252C) cases -- child support debt.										
From 2014 to 2015, filings in 3B decreased 7.4% - also attributable to Admin Order (252C) cases.										
New in 2015 -- Elder Abuse cases.										
Filings decreased in 3B 8.5% from 2015 to 2016--decreases experienced in many case subtypes.										
SOURCE OF DATA: Iowa Court Information System Caseload Statistical Reports										

Table 5
Page 1 of 2

**JUVENILE FILINGS - 2016
JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3A**

	BV	CH	CL	DK	EM	KS	LY	OB	OS	PA	3A Sub-Dist. Total	% of Sub-Dist. 3A
DELINQUENCIES												
Sex Assault	2	1	3	1	2	0	2	0	1	2	14	2.14%
Other Violent	11	5	11	3	0	0	1	2	2	4	39	5.96%
Property Offenses	16	0	8	2	4	3	1	3	0	3	40	6.12%
Alcohol	4	3	2	3	1	2	1	1	1	3	21	3.21%
Other Drugs	12	2	9	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	28	4.28%
Other Non-Violent	5	9	4	7	0	3	3	3	2	2	38	5.81%
Total Juv. Delinq.	50	20	37	19	7	9	8	10	6	14	180	27.52%
JUV CINA/OTHER												
CINA	65	23	33	16	45	22	22	17	15	18	276	42.20%
TP Rts (CINA 232)	12	1	20	16	1	3	0	13	2	1	69	10.55%
TP Rts (Priv 600A)	1	4	2	2	1	5	1	0	1	1	18	2.75%
Parental Notification	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
FINA/Other Non Del	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4	0.61%
Commit - MH	18	10	17	18	8	9	0	5	5	9	99	15.14%
Commit-Sub Abuse	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0.61%
Commit - Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0.61%
Total CINA/Other	98	39	73	52	55	40	23	42	23	29	474	72.48%
Total Juvenile	148	59	110	71	62	49	31	52	29	43	654	100.00%
% of 3A	23%	9%	17%	11%	9%	7%	5%	8%	4%	7%	100%	
% of District	9%	3%	6%	4%	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	38%	

Beginning in October 2006, the Third Judicial District implemented a one child-one judge system of assigning cases in accordance with the Iowa Supreme Court Children's Justice Initiative. Sr. Judge Sokolovkse and Sr. Judge Michaelson are regularly assigned to juvenile court in Woodbury County. Juv filings in 3A decreased 5.3% 2012 to 2013, 13.7% 2013 to 2014, 15.2% 2014 to 2015 and 2.8% 2015 to 2016.

District-wide	2007	1947	Overall decrease of 14.1% from 2006 to 2007.
Petitions Filed	2008	2162	Overall increase of 11.0% from 2007 to 2008.
	2009	2017	Juvenile filings decreased 6.7% from 2008 to 2009.
	2010	1843	Juvenile filings decreased 8.6% from 2009 to 2010.
	2011	1834	Juvenile filings decreased .5% from 2010 to 2011.
	2012	1945	Juvenile filings increased 6.1% from 2011 to 2012--the increase in 3A.
	2013	2073	Juvenile filings increased 6.6% from 2012 to 2013 -- the increase in 3B.
	2014	1900	Juvenile filings decreased 8.3% from 2013 to 2014 -- the decrease in 3A & 3B.
	2015	1675	Juvenile filings decreased 11.8% from 2014 to 2015 -- the decrease in 3A & 3B.
	2016	1722	Filings increased 2.8% from '15 to '16--increasing in 3A& 3B del and 3A CINA.

Note: The juvenile population 0-17 in the Third Judicial District has decreased by 10.02% from 2000-2008.
SOURCE OF DATA: Iowa Court Information System Caseload Statistical Reports

Table 5
Page 2 of 2

JUVENILE FILINGS - 2016 JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3B										
							3B Sub-Dist. Total	% of Sub-Dist. 3B	District Total	% of District
	CR	ID	MO	PL	SX	WD				
DELINQUENCIES										
Sex Assault	3	0	0	0	2	12	17	1.59%	31	1.80%
Other Violent	2	1	0	5	2	64	74	6.93%	113	6.56%
Property Offenses	5	0	1	20	0	55	81	7.58%	121	7.03%
Alcohol	2	0	0	2	2	7	13	1.22%	34	1.97%
Other Drugs	3	0	0	8	2	26	39	3.65%	67	3.89%
Other Non-Violent	3	0	0	2	13	21	39	3.65%	77	4.47%
Total Juv. Delinq.	18	1	1	37	21	185	263	24.63%	443	25.73%
JUV CINA/OTHER										
CINA	32	19	23	73	39	335	521	48.78%	797	46.28%
TP Rts (CINA 232)	14	5	4	7	5	88	123	11.52%	192	11.15%
TP Rts (Priv 600A)	1	1	0	1	5	25	33	3.09%	51	2.96%
Parental Notification	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
FINA/Other Non Del	1	0	0	6	0	8	15	1.40%	19	1.10%
Commit - MH	14	4	8	9	4	64	103	9.64%	202	11.73%
Commit-Sub Abuse	2	0	1	0	0	7	10	0.94%	14	0.81%
Commit - Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	4	0.23%
Total CINA/Other	64	29	36	96	53	527	805	75.37%	1279	74.27%
Total Juvenile	82	30	37	133	74	712	1068	100.00%	1722	100.00%
% of 3B	8%	3%	3%	12%	7%	67%	100%			
% of District	5%	2%	2%	8%	4%	41%	62%			
Filings in the 3B sub-district decreased 9.6% from 2011 to 2012, increased 15.8% from 2012 to 2013, decreased 5% from 2013 to 2014, 9.9% from 2014 to 2015 and 1.8% from 2015 to 2016..										
SOURCE OF DATA: Iowa Court Information System Caseload Statistical Reports										

Table 6
Page 1 of 2

**PROBATE & ESTATE FILINGS - 2016
JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3A**

	BV	CH	CL	DK	EM	KS	LY	OB	OS	PA	3A Sub-Dist. Total	% of Sub-Dist. 3A
PROBATE/ESTATE												
Trusteeship	7	4	8	3	5	3	1	3	2	5	41	4.48%
Guardianship	12	14	3	5	12	3	4	9	2	5	69	7.53%
Conservatorship	5	0	2	3	2	2	0	4	0	2	20	2.18%
Guard & Conserv	12	2	3	3	3	1	4	2	2	2	34	3.71%
Estate - Full	62	63	71	62	35	78	27	69	24	34	525	57.31%
Estate w/out Admin	7	5	2	3	0	2	1	4	0	2	26	2.84%
Estate - Small	8	14	6	10	4	13	6	8	7	6	82	8.95%
Estate - Other	20	7	11	26	6	5	9	15	7	13	119	12.99%
Total Prob/Estate	133	109	106	115	67	107	52	114	44	69	916	100.00%
% of 3A	15%	12%	12%	13%	7%	12%	6%	12%	5%	8%	100%	
% of District	6%	5%	5%	6%	3%	5%	3%	6%	2%	3%	44%	

Probate filings in 3A decreased 7.2% from 2010 to 2011, increased by 1.6% from 2011 to 2012, decreased 4.0% from 2012 to 2013, decreased 3.3% from 2013 to 201, increased 1.7% from 2014 to 2015 and 4.8% from 2015 to 2016.

**ADULT COMMITMENT FILINGS - 2016
JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3A**

	BV	CH	CL	DK	EM	KS	LY	OB	OS	PA	3A Sub-Dist. Total	% of Sub-Dist. 3A
ADULT COMMIT												
Involuntary - MH	48	44	115	92	28	26	8	23	13	37	434	81.12%
Substance Abuse	17	9	23	16	3	5	2	3	1	2	81	15.14%
Other MH	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	16	0	1	20	3.74%
Total Commitments	65	53	139	109	31	32	10	42	14	40	535	100.00%
% of 3A	12%	10%	26%	20%	6%	6%	2%	8%	3%	7%	100%	
% of District	5%	4%	11%	9%	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	3%	43%	

Commitments in 3A increased 13.6% from 2010 to 2011, increased 8.8% from 2011 to 2012, decreased 8.1% from 2012 to 2013, decreased 2.4% from 2013 to 2014, increased 6.3% from 2014 to 2015 and increased 1.1% from 2015 to 2016.

SOURCE OF DATA: Iowa Court Information System Caseload Statistical Reports

Table 6
Page 2 of 2

PROBATE & ESTATE FILINGS - 2016 JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3B										
	CR	ID	MO	PL	SX	WD	3B Sub-Dist. Total	% of Sub-Dist. 3B	District Total	% of District
PROBATE/ESTATE										
Trusteeship	1	0	4	5	10	13	33	3.30%	74	3.86%
Guardianship	44	5	1	5	19	112	186	18.58%	255	13.30%
Conservatorship	1	2	6	4	0	20	33	3.30%	53	2.76%
Guard & Conserv	2	4	2	7	13	21	49	4.90%	83	4.33%
Estate - Full	62	43	54	95	60	178	492	49.15%	1,017	53.05%
Estate w/out Admin	6	4	6	13	3	15	47	4.70%	73	3.81%
Estate - Small	6	5	17	6	10	41	85	8.49%	167	8.71%
Estate - Other	14	13	1	13	19	16	76	7.59%	195	10.17%
Total Pro/Estate	136	76	91	148	134	416	1,001	100.00%	1,917	100.00%
% of 3B	14%	8%	9%	15%	13%	42%	100%			
% of District	7%	4%	5%	8%	7%	22%	52%			
District-wide Filings							2011	1,954	5.1% decrease 10 to 11	
3B Probate filings increased 1.7% 2012 to 2013, decreased							2012	1,948	2.3% decrease from 11 to 12	
2.4% from 2013 to 2014, increased 3.9% from 2014 to 2015							2013	1,923	1.3% decrease from 12 to 13	
and decreased 0.9% from 2.15 to 2016.							2014	1,916	0.4% decrease from 13 to 14.	
							2015	1,970	2.8% increase from '14 to '15	
SOURCE OF DATA: Iowa Court Information System Caseload							2016	1,915	2.8% decrease from '15 to '16	
Statistical Reports										
ADULT COMMITMENT FILINGS - 2016 JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3B										
	CR	ID	MO	PL	SX	WD	3B Sub-Dist. Total	% of Sub-Dist. 3B	District Total	% of District
ADULT COMMIT										
Involuntary - MH	38	14	25	56	29	291	453	34.32%	887	47.82%
Substance Abuse	9	4	10	8	1	201	233	17.65%	314	16.93%
Other MH	0	0	0	0	0	634	634	48.03%	654	35.26%
Total Commitments	47	18	35	64	30	1,126	1,320	100.00%	1,855	100.00%
% of 3B	4%	1%	3%	5%	2%	85%	100%			
% of District	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	61%	71%			
Hospitalization referees were cut during FY01 due to budget							2006	803	2% decrease 05 to 06	
cuts to the Judicial Branch.							2007	864	7.6% increase 06 to 07	
							2008	914	5.8% increase from 07 to 08.	
Adult Commitments in 3B increased 5.1% from 2010 to 2011,							2009	995	8.9% increase from 08 to 09	
decreased 15.3% 2011 to 2012, increased 26.9% from 2012 to 2013							2010	1,246	25.3% increase from 09 to 10	
increased 15.2% 2013 to 2014, 18.5% from 2014 to 2015 and 8.3%							2011	1,344	7.9% increase from '10 to '11	
from 2015 to 2016.							2012	1,261	6.2% decrease from '11 to '12	
							2013	1,406	11.5% increase from 12 to 13	
							2014	1,530	8.8% increase from 13 to 14.	
							2015	1,752	14.5% increase from '14 to '15	
							2016	1,855	5.9% increase from '15 to '16	

Table 7
Page 1 of 2

**SMALL CLAIMS FILINGS - 2016
JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3A**

	BV	CH	CL	DK	EM	KS	LY	OB	OS	PA	3A Sub-Dist. Total	% of Sub-Dist. 3A
SMALL CLAIMS												
FED	35	11	32	28	14	6	8	25	9	12	180	6.35%
Money Judgment	391	319	400	383	276	182	155	202	149	182	2639	93.09%
Other Small Claims*	4	2	4	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	16	0.56%
Total Small Claims	430	332	436	412	291	188	164	228	159	195	2835	100.00%
% of 3A	15%	12%	15%	15%	10%	7%	6%	8%	6%	7%	100%	
% of District	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	27%	

NOTE: Small Claim Jurisdiction increased from \$4,000 to \$5,000 on July 1, 2002.
3A filings in 2005 totaled 4,297. Small Claim filings decreased 0.8% from 2015 to 2016. Small Claim filings decreased 34% from 2005 to 2016.

INFRACTION FILINGS - 2016 JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3A

	BV	CH	CL	DK	EM	KS	LY	OB	OS	PA	3A Sub-Dist. Total
INFRACTIONS											
(CITY TICKETS)	35	0	6	1	0	2	2	9	39	3	97
% of 3A	36%	0%	6%	1%	0%	2%	2%	9%	40%	3%	100%
% of District	8%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	9%	1%	23%

*=Includes actions for abandonment of mobile homes or personal property (IA Code 631.1(1)(3)(5))

Source: Iowa Court Information System

Table 7
Page 2 of 2

**SMALL CLAIMS FILINGS - 2016
JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3B**

	CR	ID	MO	PL	SX	WD	3B Sub-Dist. Total	% of Sub-Dist. 3B	District Total	% of District
SMALL CLAIMS										
FED	17	4	4	22	4	673	724	17.23%	904	12.85%
Money Judgment	297	115	149	363	286	2231	3441	81.89%	6,080	86.40%
Other Small Claims*	2	0	2	4	1	28	37	0.88%	53	0.75%
Total Small Claims	316	119	155	389	291	2932	4202	100.00%	7,037	100.00%
% of 3B	8%	3%	4%	9%	7%	70%	100%			
% of District	4%	1%	2%	6%	4%	42%	60%			

Small Claim Filings: In 3B filings increased from 4,196 to 4,285 -- an increase of 2.1% from 2015 to 2016.

District filings: 2007 - 12,487 A 7% increase from 2006 to 2007.
 2008 - 13,093 A district increase of 4.95% 07 to 08.
 2009 - 12,741 A district decrease of 2.7 % from 08 to 09.
 2010 - 10,492 A district decrease of 17.7% from 09 to 10.
 2011 - 9,620 A district decrease of 8.3% from 10 to 11
 2012 - 8587 A district decrease of 10.7% from 11 to 12.
 2013 - 7,631 A district decrease of 10.5% from 12 to 13.
 2014 - 7,423 A decrease of 2.7% from 2013 to 2014.
 2015 - 6,959 A decrease of 6.5% from 2014 to 2015.
 2016 - 7,037 An increase of 1.1% from 2015 to 2016.

**INFRACTION FILINGS - 2016
JUDICIAL SUB-DISTRICT 3B**

	CR	ID	MO	PL	SX	WD	Sub-Dist. Total	% District	District Total	% of District
INFRACTIONS										
(CITY TICKETS)	23	4	5	2	16	204	254		351	100%
% of 3B	9%	2%	2%	1%	6%	80%	100%	71%		
% of District	6%	1%	1%	1%	4%	57%	72%		100%	

Sioux City began camera enforcement in 2010.

Woodbury County saw an increase of 37% increase in the number of infractions from 2009 to 2010.
 Woodbury County saw an increase of 9.5% increase in the number of infractions from 2011 to 2012.
 Woodbury County saw a decrease of 4.4% in the number of infractions from 2012 to 2013.
 Woodbury County saw a decrease of 13.9% in the number of infractions from 2013 to 2014.
 Woodbury County had an increase of 6.5% in the number of infractions from 2014 to 2015.
 Woodbury County had a decrease of 26.6% in the number of infractions from 2015 to 2016.

*=Includes actions for abandonment of mobile homes or personal property (IA Code 631.1(1)(3)(5))

SOURCE OF DATA: Iowa Court Information System Caseload Statistical Reports

Table 8
CIVIL TRIAL/ANNUAL REPORT FOR 2016 TRIAL SESSIONS
 (Civil Cases Scheduled for Trial with a District Court Judge)

COUNTY	TRIED						SETTLED						NOT REACHED						GRAND TOTALS	Formal TSC
	Jury		Non-Jury		Dis/Mod		Jury		Non-Jury		Dis/Mod		Jury		Non-Jury		Dis/Mod			
	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%		
BV	1	2	6	9	12	7	40	59	4	7	24	35	0	0	0	0	17	16	70	103
CH	3	1	7	11	2	5	28	35	1	4	10	15	0	0	0	6	10	45	61	
CL	2	2	11	15	4	3	27	34	5	2	13	20	0	0	0	11	7	51	69	
DK	0	7	7	14	8	4	18	30	10	4	11	25	0	0	0	18	15	36	69	
EM	0	2	6	8	3	8	8	19	0	2	3	5	0	0	0	3	12	17	32	
KS	1	4	2	7	8	2	15	25	1	3	5	9	0	0	0	10	9	22	41	
LY	1	0	3	4	3	3	12	18	1	2	5	8	0	0	0	5	5	20	30	
OB	0	1	6	7	5	13	23	41	0	5	8	13	0	0	0	5	19	37	61	
OS	0	1	4	5	2	4	5	11	1	1	7	9	0	0	0	3	6	16	25	
PA	0	1	4	5	2	3	13	18	0	1	11	12	0	0	0	2	5	28	35	
3A Total	8	21	56	85	49	52	189	290	23	31	97	151	0	0	0	80	104	342	526	476
				16%				55%				29%				0%				100%
COUNTY	TRIED						SETTLED						NOT REACHED						GRAND TOTALS	Formal TSC
	Jury		Non-Jury		Dis/Mod		Jury		Non-Jury		Dis/Mod		Jury		Non-Jury		Dis/Mod			
	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%		
CR	1	4	8	13	3	10	16	29	0	9	6	15	0	0	0	4	23	30	57	
ID	1	7	4	12	1	3	9	13	0	5	2	7	0	0	0	2	15	15	32	
MO	3	6	4	13	4	2	9	15	3	9	5	17	0	0	0	10	17	18	45	
PL	2	15	13	30	4	13	23	40	2	13	17	32	0	0	0	8	41	53	102	
SX	0	7	6	13	11	14	19	44	3	7	6	16	0	0	0	14	28	31	73	
TOTALS-3B	7	39	35	81	23	42	76	141	8	43	36	87	0	0	0	38	124	147	309	187
				26%				46%				28%				0%				100%
WD-3B	9	74	88	171	2	48	59	109	2	49	31	82	0	0	0	13	171	178	362	292
				47%				30%				23%				0%				100%
3B Total	16	113	123	252	25	90	135	250	10	92	67	169	0	0	0	51	295	325	671	479
				38%				37%				25%				0%				100%
DISTRICT TOTALS	24	134	179	337	74	142	324	540	33	123	164	320	0	0	0	131	399	667	1197	955
%				28%				45%				27%				0%				100%

Clerks Activities & Events: All Case Categories (TW)

End of December 2016

District(s): 03

Table 9

Report Executed on: 2/28/2017

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
	Activities: Past Month								Activities: Year-to-Date							
	Protect. Orders filed	Other orders filed	Transcripts prepared	Appeals	Writs & Executs.	Juries Sworn	Other docs filed	Total	Protect. Orders filed	Other orders filed	Transcripts prepared	Appeals	Writs & Executs.	Juries Sworn	Other docs filed	Total
Civil - law & equity	0	632	1	4	149	0	2674	3460	11	8380	21	33	1624	17	38463	48549
Civil - dom relations	40	1368	3	2	4	0	4102	5519	641	19253	20	23	49	0	56262	76248
Civil - small claims	0	960	4	0	558	0	5000	6522	0	12586	24	1	5452	0	61418	79481
Criminal - felony	18	2615	0	4	3	1	4311	6952	275	31394	11	46	34	13	58460	90233
Criminal - indict msd	30	4669	0	0	5	2	7266	11972	455	59720	4	14	79	23	110321	170616
Crim - simple msd	56	4520	0	0	3	0	16398	20977	620	61155	6	2	43	5	270323	332154
Juv: delinquency	1	287	1	0	0	0	474	763	15	4434	15	2	0	0	6066	10532
Juv: Cin/TP/PN/Fin	0	1240	3	5	0	0	7024	8272	28	15725	60	69	0	0	86530	102412
Juv: Commitments	0	69	0	0	0	0	135	204	0	778	4	0	0	0	1124	1906
Civ: adult commits	0	1026	7	0	0	0	1274	2307	0	13364	95	1	0	0	18827	32287
Infractions	0	38	0	0	0	0	124	162	0	692	1	1	0	0	1319	2013
Total	145	17424	19	15	722	3	48782	67110	2045	227481	261	192	7281	58	709113	946431
Civ - Probate/Est	Letters of appointmt.	Other orders filed	Transcripts prepared	Appeals	Reprt & Inventory		Other docs filed	Total Prob/Est	Letters of appointmt	Other orders filed	Transcripts prepared	Appeals	Reprt & Inventory		Other docs filed	Total Prob/Est
	129	841	21	0	101		3704	4796	1714	9858	277	3	1365		40576	53793

The total decreased from 978,984 to 946,431 -- a decrease of 3.3% from 2015 to 2016. Probate/Estate filings decreased from 54570 in 2015 to 53793 in 2016 -- a decrease of 1.4%.

JUROR USAGE – 2016

Table 11

COUNTY	No. of Questionnaires Mailed	No. of Questionnaires Returned/ Completed	No. Reporting To Court	No. To Hear A Case	Total # Jury Trials Initiated
Buena Vista	833	274	100	12	2
Cherokee	425	377	65	8	1
Clay	600	562	161	33	3
Crawford	559	424	57	8	1
Dickinson	800	673	269	35	3
Emmet	840	667	92	14	1
Ida	500	345	38	8	1
Kossuth	910	674	99	20	3
Lyon	600	530	122	21	2
Monona	600	295	328	126	6
O'Brien	600	546	15	6	1
Osceola	471	346	0	0	0
Palo Alto	837	240	46	13	1
Plymouth	1,265	914	443	89	9
Sioux	846	747	138	27	5
Woodbury	11,123	9,622	1,603	296	29
TOTALS –					
2016	21,809	17,236	3,576	716	68
2015	21,311	16,754	3,823	865	80
2014	20,408	15,959	3,367	746	69

Note: All counties utilize a telephonic message system except Emmet and Ida. Emmet county does not have the clerk phone number on the juror mailer.

Table 12 p 2 of 2

2016 Jury Trial by County	3A										3B					
	Buena Vista	Cherokee	Clay	Dickinson	Emmet	Kossuth	Lyon	O'Brien	Osceola	Palo Alto	Crawford	Ida	Monona	Plymouth	Sioux	Woodbury
Law: Torts																
P.I. - Med/dental malp																1
P.I. - Motor vehicle				1		1					1					5
P.I. - Premises liability			1													1
P.I. - Prod liab & toxic																
P.I. - Other neg/intent.										1						1
Profess. malp (no PI)																
Prop/financ dmg (no PI)																1
Law: Contract/Comrc.																
Contract - Debt Collec												1				
Fraud/Misrep.																
Employment claim							1									
Cont/comrc: other																
Equity																
Mortgage Foreclosure																
Other Real Property																
Other Equity																
Other Civil																
Admin Appeals to DCt																
Distress warrants																
Foreign judgments																
Liens																
Post conviction relief																
Other actions													2	1		
Total Regular Civil	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	1	0	11
Total Civil Jury Trials for 16 counties: 22 (2015=22 civil jury trials)																
TOTAL CV & CR Jury	1	1	3	3	1	3	2	1	0	1	1	1	6	9	3	29

2016 Total jury trials for 16 counties: 65

2015 Total: 72 | 2014 Total: 57

2013 total: 64

Source: Iowa Court Information System-dispositions of cases by trial to jury

NOTE: WOODBURY COUNTY TOTAL ON JURY USAGE TABLES DIFFER FROM ACTUAL JURIES SWORN IN.

Disbursements GAAP 2016												
	BUENA VISTA	CHEROKEE	CLAY	CRAWFORD	DICKINSON	EMMET	IDA	KOSSUTH	LYON	MONONA	O'BRIEN	
FEE TO STATE												
Filing Fees - Civil / Appeal/Transcript Fees	42,522.50	28,723.50	43,742.50	35,965.00	38,317.00	21,860.50	12,570.00	22,697.50	14,280.00	19,515.00	26,735.11	
Filing Fees - Small Claims \$50	31,280.00	43,180.00	32,555.00	28,475.00	30,005.00	26,350.00	10,200.00	13,260.00	14,280.00	13,940.00	20,485.00	
Filing Fees - Indictable Criminal	18,345.31	7,971.91	18,240.11	18,117.75	24,418.24	7,489.18	3,892.30	7,082.33	5,575.63	6,972.78	7,554.14	
Filing Fees - Parking Violations	0.00	120.00	0.00	8.00	297.00	0.00	0.00	176.00	0.00	8.00	232.00	
Filing Fees - Contempt Violations	1,836.70	270.00	914.68	2,162.51	2,567.38	82.60	1,292.39	2,413.03	400.00	920.00	676.57	
Court Costs-SV, Ct App, Nonsched., Other Simple	136,597.15	77,297.59	169,035.83	111,318.67	238,615.14	67,528.28	67,109.29	78,571.06	132,516.08	123,689.04	103,190.70	
Miscellaneous Court Fees	171,991.34	159,430.36	174,131.29	138,666.51	158,654.97	80,401.98	61,808.66	190,097.51	93,304.50	87,589.16	170,776.54	
Small Claims Postage (EDMS)	700.00	300.00	2,210.48	825.21	2,585.00	1,930.00	95.34	190.00	1,320.00	254.44	990.00	
Entry Final Decree of Marriage Dissolution \$50	2,895.78	1,641.00	3,013.17	2,975.00	2,580.00	1,315.00	995.00	2,655.00	675.00	1,075.00	2,020.25	
Interest Earned on Invested Funds/Late Payments	469.26	55.19	367.91	172.93	0.36	47.79	121.16	63.87	38.54	0.00	174.58	
Fines, Infractions, Law Surcharges, Civil Penalty	481,592.41	198,370.88	356,408.42	226,387.96	423,650.93	163,936.42	112,229.92	169,782.40	172,704.71	230,885.46	257,165.30	
City Fines and Forfeited Bail 10%	1,409.88	3,509.68	5,178.13	2,820.20	6,286.78	3,539.59	39.00	1,839.94	536.26	404.19	635.17	
Fine-Driving No Proof of Insurance	13,948.45	1,990.02	8,018.18	10,293.73	3,854.54	3,095.92	3,582.52	5,229.79	4,394.42	5,483.74	4,650.21	
Fine-Litter/Discard Waste	50.00	0.00	175.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	35.00	0.00	76.11	105.00	
Late Settlements - Civil Jury Trial \$500	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Surcharge 95%	146,450.30	66,326.82	118,347.54	77,173.44	147,187.21	52,674.56	37,479.26	48,420.91	59,097.81	68,925.48	79,549.00	
Indigent Defense Reimbursement to State	54,118.59	12,669.21	33,615.56	64,876.75	38,967.86	15,405.34	6,370.25	33,278.32	7,256.81	11,781.92	9,565.44	
Prepayment of Indigent Defense Fees	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Civil Penalty - Sex Offender Registry	1,258.21	750.00	1,307.39	0.00	250.00	0.00	243.58	0.00	0.00	40.19	1,242.28	
Drug Abuse Surcharge	0.00	0.00	1,434.44	1,179.46	1,690.80	576.10	290.00	380.00	340.00	503.39	690.00	
Human Trafficking Surcharge (911.2A)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Secretary of State Revolving Fund (911.2B and 911.2C)	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	200.00	100.00	340.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
DOT Commercial Vehicle (602.8108(9))	9,455.95	15,094.50	11,554.75	13,367.50	7,530.00	5,478.00	14,927.50	8,845.35	6,137.00	14,844.50	6,949.64	
Subtotal	1,115,021.83	617,702.01	980,250.38	734,785.62	1,129,683.21	451,811.26	333,586.17	585,018.01	519,203.81	586,908.40	693,386.93	
Less Payments by EPAV/EDMS/ETR	355,586.89	232,193.25	419,336.90	230,586.69	464,894.29	173,936.91	128,537.84	165,613.13	188,756.28	288,527.62	267,221.62	
Less Deposits and Collections by DRF/CCU	56,277.58	20,489.40	28,941.19	40,448.69	63,246.25	10,970.47	9,482.18	20,160.77	7,233.72	20,072.69	12,906.75	
Less Co Atty Collections per HF641	-102.83	-179.25	304.44	-1,130.67	-495.20	-235.00	-768.07	17,910.83	0.00	419.75	120.00	
Subtotal Check to State Treasurer	703,260.19	365,198.61	531,667.86	464,880.91	602,037.87	267,138.88	196,334.22	361,333.28	323,213.81	277,888.34	413,138.65	
FEE TO COUNTY OR CITY												
Sheriff's Fees	15,410.67	3,741.29	7,805.26	2,187.47	8,187.16	3,552.01	2,948.89	4,670.31	1,936.63	3,426.39	4,950.54	
County Fines/Indigent Defense Reimbursed to County	0.00	0.00	1,024.42	2,199.87	504.39	307.00	0.00	0.00	252.20	0.00	0.00	
Surcharge - County - 5%	7,441.41	2,877.40	5,174.16	3,326.51	6,651.54	2,056.45	1,963.41	2,214.97	3,019.91	3,545.43	4,001.12	
County Attorney Collections	167,428.66	90,815.80	109,043.67	1,313.73	59,565.90	67,181.15	17,489.30	36,683.82	24,702.89	24,073.92	83,236.98	
Third Party Debt Collections Fees	11,463.61	9,269.61	12,049.37	31,360.89	31,217.99	5,005.92	5,398.03	14,565.08	6,738.74	19,792.01	7,985.79	
City Fines and Forfeited Bail 90% Fines	12,688.26	31,581.45	45,701.72	25,380.86	56,542.43	31,845.81	351.00	16,579.67	4,573.89	3,637.31	5,715.82	
Surcharge - City - 5%	258.90	608.72	1,047.65	731.13	1,087.16	713.11	6.78	330.48	86.26	77.35	179.88	
City Infractions - Penalties/Forfeitures 90%	3,056.89	382.50	0.00	5,084.10	0.00	259.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,601.90	
City/County Miscellaneous Fees	4,563.74	1,885.85	9,340.91	6,830.81	1,167.11	4,077.85	2,624.54	2,138.11	5,454.50	1,784.30	2,119.90	
County Infractions - Penalties/Fines	6,973.84	984.90	4,008.83	5,146.61	1,927.21	1,547.84	1,791.24	2,614.81	2,197.07	2,741.77	2,324.94	
Subtotal	229,285.98	141,457.52	195,195.99	83,561.98	166,850.89	116,287.14	32,832.39	79,797.25	48,962.09	59,078.48	113,116.87	
OTHER MONEYS PROCESSED												
DHS Disbursement	128,610.25	91,850.83	141,245.15	150,520.16	128,139.73	55,458.84	45,545.67	148,519.02	26,891.76	104,156.09	171,703.54	
Child Support	353,713.76	275,001.41	243,271.35	382,955.92	325,934.07	167,385.96	165,326.18	377,827.43	226,293.96	124,073.01	222,034.17	
Miscellaneous Trusts & Bonds	709,944.92	986,478.98	1,104,502.58	880,394.38	2,361,389.76	399,405.94	400,722.73	500,173.14	369,867.26	405,533.80	432,234.89	
Jury and Witness Fees	9,936.96	30.45	10,911.12	5,280.85	1,993.05	0.00	3,153.05	14,863.90	3,557.00	25,950.25	0.00	
Sub Total	\$1,202,205.89	\$1,353,361.67	\$1,499,930.20	\$1,419,151.31	\$2,817,456.61	\$622,250.58	\$614,747.63	\$1,041,383.49	\$626,609.98	\$659,713.15	\$825,972.60	
Grand Total Section A+B+C	\$2,134,752.06	\$1,860,017.80	\$2,226,794.05	\$1,967,594.20	\$3,586,345.37	\$1,005,676.60	\$843,914.24	\$1,502,514.02	\$988,785.88	\$996,679.97	\$1,352,228.12	

Table 13A

- For a lis pendens --\$50

Increased court fees:

- Civil filing fee (including a petition for dissolution of marriage) raised from \$100 to \$185
 - o Filing fee for chapter 598 petition--\$100 (current amount)
 - o Filing fee for adoption petition--\$100 (current amount)
 - o Filing fee for a modification of dissolution petition with a stipulation attached raised from \$50 to \$100
- Fee for filing a small claims case raised from \$50 to \$85
- Filing an appeal from small claims raised from \$75 to \$185
- Fee for filing a statutory lien raised from \$20 to \$50
- Fee for a certificate and seal raised from \$10 to \$20
- Fee for change of title in real estate (except in probate) raised from \$20 to \$50
- Filing fee for a simple misdemeanor or scheduled violation raised from \$50 to \$60
- Fee for appeal of a simple misdemeanor raised from \$50 to \$75
- Court reporter fee raised from \$15/day to \$40/day
- Fee for "other" probate services in estates over \$25,000, for each additional \$25,000, raised from \$25 to \$50.

Criminal penalty surcharge:

- Criminal penalty surcharge increased from 32% to 35% of the amount of the fine or forfeiture imposed

JUVENILE RESTITUTION 2016

Table 14

	JAN	FEB	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUGUST	SEPT	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTALS
WOODBUR	\$ 408.00	\$ 245.00	\$ 1,014.98	\$ 464.79	\$ 1,968.11	\$ 904.90	\$ 438.10	\$ 653.80	\$ 260.00	\$ 278.00	\$ 201.00	\$ 356.00	\$ 7,192.68
97													
BUENA VIS	\$ 227.88	\$ 306.30	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 159.99	\$ 137.43	\$ 47.68	\$ -	\$ 55.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 25.00	\$ 1,259.28
11													
CHEROKEE	\$ 74.47	\$ 574.47	\$ 121.00	\$ 646.00	\$ -	\$ 50.00	\$ 97.79	\$ 5.94	\$ -	\$ 25.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,594.67
18													
CLAY	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 82.00	\$ 462.78	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 584.78
21													
CRAWFOR	\$ 274.32	\$ 500.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 259.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 435.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 114.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 530.53	\$ 259.47	\$ 100.00	\$ 3,172.32
24													
DICKINSON	\$ 83.33	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 557.58	\$ 640.91
30													
EMMET	\$ 81.50	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 212.75	\$ 140.00	\$ -	\$ 251.83	\$ -	\$ 686.08
32													
IDA	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 184.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 184.00
47													
KOSSUTH	\$ 50.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100.00
55													
LYON	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100.00
60													
MONONA	\$ 26.67	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 26.67
67													
O'BRIEN	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 101.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,150.00	\$ 575.00	\$ 1,826.00
71													
OSCEOLA	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
72													
PALO ALTO	\$ -	\$ 104.65	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 216.15	\$ 320.80
74													
PLYMOUTH	\$ -	\$ 322.08	\$ 63.49	\$ 50.00	\$ 83.46	\$ -	\$ 151.12	\$ 40.00	\$ 82.48	\$ 1,100.00	\$ 31.13	\$ -	\$ 1,923.76
75													
SIoux	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,188.10	\$ 328.64	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 200.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,716.74
84													
TOTALS	\$ 1,226.17	\$ 2,052.50	\$ 1,381.47	\$ 3,120.67	\$ 2,765.21	\$ 1,549.89	\$ 1,024.44	\$ 1,114.17	\$ 982.48	\$ 2,088.53	\$ 2,193.43	\$ 1,829.73	\$ 21,328.69

2015 total: \$41,819.99

note: case number JJI013335 started as Sioux co case and is now O'Brien but rest still due Sioux co.

**CHILDREN COPE WITH DIVORCE
2016**

1/16/2016	Sioux Center	13	7/16/2016	Sioux Center	25
1/22/2016	Sioux City	22	7/23/2016	Emmetsburg	12
1/28/2016	Denison	12	7/28/2016	Denison	7
1/30/2016	Emmetsburg	5	8/12/2016	Sioux City	18
2/12/2016	Sioux City	14	8/13/2016	Sioux City	8
2/13/2016	Sioux City	15	8/13/2016	Storm Lake	12
2/20/2016	Spencer	22	8/20/2016	Spencer	25
3/11/2016	Sioux City	32	9/9/2016	Sioux City	32
3/12/2016	Sioux City	22	9/17/2016	Sioux Center	16
3/19/2016	Sioux Center	16	9/24/2016	Emmetsburg	12
4/2/2016	Emmetsburg	8	9/28/2016	Denison	11
4/8/2016	Sioux City	22	10/7/2016	Sioux City	27
4/9/2016	Sioux City	16	10/8/2016	Sioux City	20
4/9/2016	Storm Lake	16	10/8/2016	Storm Lake	11
4/30/2016	Spencer	27	10/15/2016	Spencer	22
5/7/2016	Sioux Center	17	11/4/2016	Sioux City	28
5/13/2016	Sioux City	30	11/5/2016	Sioux City	17
5/14/2016	Sioux City	16	11/12/2016	Sioux Center	14
5/21/2016	Emmetsburg	7	11/17/2016	Denison	10
5/26/2016	Denison	7	11/19/2016	Emmetsburg	17
6/17/2016	Sioux City	25	12/10/2016	Spencer	17
6/18/2016	Storm Lake	20	12/10/2016	Storm Lake	13
6/18/2016	Sioux City	16	12/26/2016	Sioux City	40
6/25/2016	Spencer	22			
7/8/2016	Sioux City	26			
	SUBTOTAL	448		SUBTOTAL	414

TOTAL 862
(2015 Attendees totaled 1,091)
(2014 Attendees totaled 1,091)

LOCATION	ANNUAL TOTAL PER LOCATION	NO. OF CLASSES	AVG. NO. OF PARTICIPANTS	% OF PARTICIPANTS
Storm Lake	72	5	14	8%
Sioux Center	101	6	17	12%
Emmetsburg	61	6	10	7%
Denison	47	5	9	6%
Sioux City	446	20	22	51%
Spencer	135	6	23	16%
TOTALS	862	48	18	100%

RESENTED BEING REQUIRED TO ATTEND: 40

COMMENTS:

Great class – very informative and glad I attended

Very knowledgeable – examples were helpful – topics hit home.

Very helpful. Thank you.

I did learn a lot and got some good resources.

I understand that you have “soap box” issues but you chastise people for drinking pop but promote “monster” spray with Febreze in it – pure chemical that does many horrible things to children and adults. Just sayin’.

Not anything I didn’t already know so its pointless and repetitive.

Loved this – with there was another session.

The guy talking throughout class was really annoying.

As a modification with absent other parent, class was non-pertinent to me.

Good knowledge.

Presenter was great.

Can’t think of any certain part, but very good information. Excellent speaker.

I really enjoyed the speaker. A lot of knowledge and comforting to listen to.

Bunch of liberal bullshit.

Material covered was very irrelevant to our case and class is much longer than necessary.

Very informative – helps me think thru decision.

I felt it didn’t apply – common sense goes a long way.

I wish we had this for when my 1st (non-married) custody hearing was going on. Very informational – great instructor.

Book (Handbook) is the only good one!!!

Very informative

Absolutely terrible

Juvenile Court Services2016 ReportComplaints filed per County

<u>County</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
<i>BV</i>	240	208	362	305	264	168	153	144	169
<i>Cherokee</i>	110	84	77	58	60	53	67	50	60
<i>O'Brien</i>	69	84	64	70	57	44	55	34	50
<i>Osceola</i>	45	33	16	31	18	19	19	15	22
<i>Lyon</i>	69	70	37	52	41	23	46	34	40
<i>Emmet</i>	87	70	95	76	83	51	101	75	52
<i>Dickinson</i>	173	83	91	127	85	89	71	81	70
<i>Crawford</i>	143	189	135	129	117	79	86	134	57
<i>Monona</i>	70	55	47	46	43	29	53	38	27
<i>Ida</i>	21	26	34	27	54	22	19	23	15
<i>Kossuth</i>	102	81	48	73	71	39	45	45	38
<i>Palo Alto</i>	34	44	26	51	53	33	46	27	43
<i>Plymouth</i>	228	166	134	101	90	110	114	95	121
<i>Clay</i>	171	192	175	164	131	114	113	104	83
<i>Sioux</i>	152	136	198	161	165	135	94	92	79
<i>Woodbury</i>	1809	1672	1636	1517	1344	1042	949	863	818
<u>Totals</u>	<u>3523</u>	<u>3193</u>	<u>3175</u>	<u>2988</u>	<u>2676</u>	<u>2050</u>	<u>2031</u>	<u>1854</u>	<u>1744</u>

Table 16 p 2 of 7

Delinquency Petitions by County

County	2009	2010	2011*	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
BV	37	35	38	69	36	32	13	45
Cherokee	17	20	10	16	14	27	20	14
Clay	44	33	44	49	43	24	25	17
Crawford	20	22	8	10	12	20	24	14
Dickinson	22	14	24	27	12	16	9	15
Emmet	20	11	10	19	11	21	16	6
Ida	9	5	8	5	6	2	3	0
Kossuth	14	11	11	13	12	11	11	7
Lyon	21	7	10	4	5	9	6	9
Monona	3	7	7	2	2	8	0	2
O'Brien	13	14	8	11	8	16	10	9
Osceola	7	5	1	3	4	4	1	7
Palo Alto	5	3	10	8	5	16	5	13
Plymouth	16	39	24	23	43	18	18	30
Sioux	22	19	18	11	14	17	11	19
Woodbury	203	170	250	227	204	187	150	187
THIRD DISTRICT	473	415	481	497	431	428	322	394

**The increase of petitions in 2011 is mostly due to counting multiple petitions differently than in the past. They are now counted by JV# and not by juvenile.*

Delinquency Adjudications by County

County	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
BV	20	19	28	27	24	15	8	16
Cherokee	11	7	8	9	9	12	13	7
Clay	20	12	5	6	10	10	7	7
Crawford	15	14	5	7	11	9	18	17
Dickinson	5	2	6	6	9	7	3	4
Emmet	3	5	5	9	5	8	10	1
Ida	7	3	3	4	5	3	3	1
Kossuth	2	8	6	5	4	3	6	4
Lyon	6	4	1	2	3	6	3	5
Monona	5	2	4	3	0	3	2	0
O'Brien	8	11	1	3	5	10	4	9
Osceola	1	2	0	1	3	2	0	1
Palo Alto	4	1	4	4	5	8	1	7
Plymouth	1	14	8	5	7	5	5	6
Sioux	10	12	7	10	6	10	8	8
Woodbury	89	70	71	62	68	60	43	63
Third District	207	186	162	163	174	171	134	156

Table 16 p 3 of 7

Consent Decrees

County	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
BV	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
Cherokee	2	0	0	2	0	2	1
Clay	2	2	1	3	2	6	2
Crawford	2	0	0	1	0	3	1
Dickinson	1	4	4	1	1	0	1
Emmet	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
Ida	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Kossuth	2	1	1	0	1	1	3
Lyon	2	3	2	1	0	2	1
Monona	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
O'Brien	0	1	3	0	1	2	1
Osceola	0	0	0	0	2	0	1
Palo Alto	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Plymouth	7	1	1	5	3	9	5
Sioux	3	3	1	4	2	2	5
Woodbury	29	10	13	7	10	19	17
THIRD DISTRICT	50	28	28	25	23	46	45

Formal Probation

County	2013	2014	2015	2016
BV	12	13	14	12
Cherokee	3	10	10	6
Clay	10	10	8	9
Crawford	5	12	21	18
Dickinson	8	4	4	3
Emmet	6	5	5	3
Ida	1	3	0	0
Kossuth	5	3	5	5
Lyon	2	5	2	4
Monona	2	4	1	0
O'Brien	3	6	4	9
Osceola	2	2	0	1
Palo Alto	5	7	1	6
Plymouth	5	5	6	8
Sioux	7	7	7	8
Woodbury	55	34	21	36
THIRD DISTRICT	131	130	109	128

Table 16 p 4 of 7

Waiver Stipulations

County	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
BV	1	0	0	0	0	0
Cherokee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clay	3	8	1	2	1	1
Crawford	0	0	0	3	1	0
Dickinson	1	3	1	2	0	6
Emmet	0	4	0	1	0	2
Ida	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kossuth	1	1	4	1	2	0
Lyon	3	0	0	0	1	0
Monona	0	0	0	2	0	0
O'Brien	1	1	0	2	1	0
Osceola	0	0	0	0	0	0
Palo Alto	0	0	0	1	0	5
Plymouth	3	2	1	1	2	3
Sioux	1	0	3	1	1	2
Woodbury	2	5	3	0	2	1
THIRD DISTRICT	16	24	13	16	11	20

Waived to Adult Court

County	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
BV	4	10	7	12	10	4	7	6
Cherokee	0	3	1	1	3	7	1	2
Clay	19	11	10	3	8	1	1	2
Crawford	1	5	2	1	2	0	3	0
Dickinson	6	6	5	5	4	6	5	4
Emmet	6	1	1	2	4	5	2	0
Ida	1	3	0	3	0	1	2	0
Kossuth	3	2	1	3	3	0	1	1
Lyon	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3
Monona	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	1
O'Brien	2	3	2	1	0	3	2	0
Osceola	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
Palo Alto	1	2	2	0	0	1	2	0
Plymouth	6	6	2	6	3	1	0	4
Sioux	3	1	2	0	2	1	2	2
Woodbury	45	39	16	34	19	19	16	20
THIRD DISTRICT	102	96	52	72	60	49	44	46

Table 16 p 5 of 7

Informal Adjustments by County

County	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
BV	41	51	49	47	22	22	25	27
Cherokee	10	16	13	13	10	16	8	18
Clay	24	27	27	25	35	26	22	15
Crawford	43	28	20	32	12	15	16	8
Dickinson	10	15	21	12	12	14	31	24
Emmet	11	18	4	15	6	15	29	12
Ida	5	3	1	1	1	2	2	0
Kossuth	24	12	9	11	10	10	16	4
Lyon	10	7	7	4	8	7	5	7
Monona	7	8	7	4	2	7	9	4
O'Brien	13	7	22	17	9	13	6	19
Osceola	1	4	7	4	3	4	3	6
Palo Alto	10	9	11	8	8	6	8	10
Plymouth	19	9	6	10	9	20	21	12
Sioux	16	25	18	15	22	18	31	15
Woodbury	326	178	164	156	140	138	144	117
THIRD DISTRICT	570	417	386	374	309	333	376	298

School Offenses

In 2016, out of a total of 1,744 complaints, 358 were school offenses.

County	2010 School Offenses	2011 School Offenses	2012 School Offenses	2013 School Offenses	2014 School Offenses	2015 School Offenses	2016 School Offenses
BV	72	53	45	46	26	37	52
Cherokee	0	6	5	11	5	2	14
Clay	7	16	20	5	33	19	25
Crawford	8	4	1	17	11	9	7
Dickinson	3	3	1	38	4	2	6
Emmet	18	7	0	18	8	17	16
Ida	0	0	1	0	2	0	1
Kossuth	0	1	0	3	6	3	4
Lyon	0	0	2	0	4	1	4
Monona	0	2	2	1	8	2	2
O'Brien	0	5	0	4	8	6	12
Osceola	2	1	0	0	0	0	1
Palo Alto	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
Plymouth	27	9	4	12	15	16	13
Sioux	2	7	4	7	7	7	4
Woodbury	511	306	238	300	236	155	196
Third District	650	421	323	463	373	276	358

Table 16 p 6 of 7

Restitution Paid

County	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
BV	\$ 1,566.79	\$6,198.63	\$3,184.41	\$ 3,008.61	\$ 762.27	\$ 1,259.28
Cherokee	\$ 1,131.23	\$1,274.48	\$ 661.55	\$ 1,242.45	\$1,842.55	\$ 1,642.45
Clay	\$ 2,631.08	\$1,631.65	\$ 373.80	\$ 1,116.00	\$2,142.13	\$ 584.78
Crawford	\$ 1,706.37	\$1,216.98	\$1,867.46	\$ 848.78	\$3,878.20	\$ 3,822.32
Dickinson	\$ 3,048.00	\$2,140.57	\$1,709.79	\$ 2,312.87	\$1,935.55	\$ 640.91
Emmet	\$ 4,965.98	\$ 643.15	\$1,357.61	\$ 2,293.92	\$2,390.97	\$ 736.08
Ida	\$ 590.00	\$3,677.10	\$1,691.43	\$ 644.00	\$ 330.00	\$ 184.00
Kossuth	\$ 120.88	\$1,243.00	\$2,640.00	\$ 765.99	\$1,712.97	\$ 155.00
Lyon	\$ 5,714.27	\$ 20.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 0	\$1,050.00	\$ 100.00
Monona	\$ 196.00	\$ 131.33	\$ 123.30	\$ 222.00	\$ 99.99	\$ 26.67
O'Brien	\$ 2,802.50	\$4,728.17	\$2,144.96	\$ 1,647.66	\$1,460.46	\$ 1,826.00
Osceola	\$ 273.58	\$ 869.30	\$ 48.19	\$ 0	\$ 550.00	\$ 0
Palo Alto	\$ 193.87	\$1,148.52	\$ 1,452.66	\$ 270.13	\$ 200.00	\$ 320.80
Plymouth	\$ 1,772.79	\$2,323.63	\$ 3,992.64	\$ 5,333.84	\$ 833.33	\$ 1,923.76
Sioux	\$ 1,777.87	\$3,995.15	\$ 1,808.30	\$ 1,219.35	\$ 7,813.24	\$ 1,716.74
Woodbury	\$19,768.35	\$9,548.41	\$ 9,670.57	\$11,100.71	\$ 9,698.33	\$ 7,192.68
THIRD DISTRICT	\$48,259.56	\$40,790.07	\$32,926.67	\$32,026.31	\$36,699.99	\$22,131.47

Table 16 p 7 of 7

Diversion & Bracelet Paid

In 2016, \$5,571.00 was paid by juveniles for the use of the electronic monitoring bracelet and for fees to attend various diversion programs.

County	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
BV	\$ 270.00	0	\$ 40.00	\$ 0	\$ 45.00
Cherokee	0	\$ 380.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 0	\$ 270.00
Clay	\$ 600.00	\$ 320.00	\$ 297.00	\$ 89.00	\$ 112.00
Crawford	0	0	0	\$ 0	\$ 30.00
Dickinson	\$ 700.00	\$ 400.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 0
Emmet	\$ 450.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00
Ida	0	0	\$ 0.00	\$ 0	\$ 775.00
Kossuth	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0	\$ 0
Lyon	0	\$ 60.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0	\$ 0
Monona	0	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00	\$ 0	\$ 0
O'Brien	\$ 350.00	\$ 150.00	\$ 350.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 0
Osceola	\$ 200.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 0	\$ 0
Palo Alto	0	\$ 200.00	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Plymouth	\$1,974.50	\$1,380.00	\$ 946.00	\$1,332.00	\$ 487.00
Sioux	\$1,400.00	\$1,407.63	\$ 690.00	\$ 330.00	\$ 344.00
Woodbury	\$11,140.70	\$9,133.68	\$ 6,671.90	\$5,775.26	\$3,458.00
THIRD DISTRICT	\$17,135.20	\$13,761.31	\$ 9,424.90	\$7,726.26	\$5,571.00

Community Service Hours Worked

County	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
BV	2503.00	2038.00	483.00	550.0	540.0	428
Cherokee	240.00	270.00	100.00	140.0	312.0	90
Clay	360.00	270.00	650.00	240.0	229.0	129
Crawford	612.00	700.00	700.00	311.0	175.0	53
Dickinson	923.50	602.50	323.00	195.0	805.0	693.5
Emmet	167.75	345.00	311.00	235.0	369.0	153
Ida	80.00	40.00	0	0	160.0	0
Kossuth	159.00	285.00	270.00	80.0	110.0	0
Lyon	175.00	125.00	15.00	95.0	90.0	120
Monona	275.00	155.00	190.00	60.0	80.0	0
O'Brien	160.00	70.00	12.5	40.0	80.0	171
Osceola	125.00	125.00	32.0	225.0	0	71
Palo Alto	59.50	255.5	200.5	10.0	85.0	278
Plymouth	662.00	485.00	172.0	375.0	448.0	310
Sioux	775.00	280.00	815.0	435.0	684.5	597
Woodbury	6087.00	5765.00	5390.5	6065.0	4931.5	4376
THIRD DISTRICT	13,363.75	11,811.00	9664.50	9056.0	9099.0	7469.5

Woodbury County Juror Accountability Project

Starting in 2005 and continuing through 2016, the Woodbury County Clerk of Court worked with the court to increase summons response rates. The court initiated a program to enforce summons. This was primarily accomplished through the court issuing an Order to Appear to all no-show jurors. Those no-show jurors who failed to respond to their order to appear were served an Order to Show Cause by the Woodbury County Sheriff. Jurors found in contempt were fined and taxed court costs and sheriff fees and ordered imprisoned for two days. The two jail days were waived by the court if the juror reported as required for the assigned trial dates. The fine may also be waived if the juror is selected and serves on a jury trial.

Court Appearance Date	Total # of Jurors Ordered to Appear by Court for Failing to Respond to the Juror Summons	# of Jurors Found in Contempt
January	41	0
February	0	0
March	148	0
April	40	0
May	20	0
June	0	0
July	85	0
August	95	0
September	166	0
October	19	0
November	8	0
December	44	0
Total 2016	166	0
Total 2015	465	0
Total 2014	434	0
Total 2013	616	0
Total 2012	379	14
Total 2011	365	14
Total 2010	381	22
Total 2009	404	17
Total 2008	454	22
Total 2007	827	52
Total 2006	729	61
Total 2005	744	57

*Note: The number that failed to appear as ordered by the court may include individuals for whom no valid address exists.

Information provided by: Amy Berntson, Clerk of Court for Woodbury County

Programs Operating in the Third Judicial District

Project Phoenix: Contact Person: Brad Rowe, phone 712-234-2300, e-mail: browe@jacksonrecovery.com
Jackson Recovery Centers provided substance abuse assessment and treatment for low-risk individuals in the Woodbury County jail. This program is no longer funded (as of July 1, 2015) by the Woodbury County Board of Supervisors, however, JRC still operates it on an outpatient basis for offenders who are out of jail and eligible for TIX.

Reality Education Alcohol Prevention (REAP): Contact person-Carla Granstrom, Mercy Hospital 712-279-5636 e-mail: granstrc@mercyhealth.com

REAP is a justice program designed for offenders for operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol or another drug. The course used to be optional in lieu of other sentencing, but a few years ago the law changed and judges can now order the REAP program participation as part of sentencing.

The class runs two sessions totaling 4.5 hours. By exposing offenders first-hand to the consequential realities of operating a motor vehicle, the program teaches: 1) what it is like to be treated as a traffic trauma victim at a major hospital trauma center; 2) what are the long-term experiences of a traffic injury victim, such as a paraplegic or quadriplegic; 3) the reality of death at the morgue; 4) the feelings of the families of the fatality victims of alcohol-related traffic deaths.

Upon conviction, the judge has the option to mandate this program when the offender appears in juvenile or adult court charged with OWI. If the program is selected, 1) the offender tours the Mercy Medical Center Sioux City Trauma Center and experiences first hand what it would be like to be a trauma victim; 2) tours the Mercy Medical Center Rehabilitation Center and has an opportunity to visit the Intensive Care Unit where there are actual victims from alcohol-related crashes; 3) visits the morgue at Unity Point Medical Center under the supervision of a pathologist. No extensive viewing of the bodies occurs, although they may be present. The reality of death is conveyed simply by being at this location; 4) writes an essay describing the experiences and lessons learned during the course.

Children Cope with Divorce: Contact person- Carol Chase 402-681-1983, e-mail: childrenfirst51104@gmail.com
CHILDREN COPE WITH DIVORCE is a four hour educational class designed to help minimize the negative impact of divorce on children at a time when parents are naturally preoccupied with their own adjustments. Recognizing that their joint roles as parents will continue to exist, the seminar helps parents begin to restructure their relationship and make appropriate plans for their children.

Attendance is REQUIRED (by Iowa Code 598.15) of both parents in all cases involving child custody or child visitation, including modification of custody and physical care. Parents may attend together or separately. Classes are held at various colleges and hospitals throughout the Third Judicial District. (See Table 15 for the class attendance information.)

Court Appointed Special Advocate Program (CASA): Contact person-Amy Hennies 712-279-6602, e-mail: Amy.Hennies@dia.iowa.gov

The CASA Program began in the Third Judicial District, Woodbury County in 1986. The CASA mission is to commission trained and qualified community advocates to serve as an effective voice in court for abused and neglected children, strengthening efforts to ensure that each child is living in a safe, permanent and nurturing home. The volunteer's work is both challenging and rewarding. Their willingness to contribute their time (hundreds of hours and thousands of miles) on behalf of our communities' most vulnerable children remains inspiring. CASA continues to expand its program and work to increase the volunteer base and the number of children served.

The Third Judicial District CASA Programs serve in the following areas:

Sioux City Program: Serving Monona, Plymouth and Woodbury Counties -

Amy Hennies, Child Advocacy Board Coordinator 712-279-6602, e-mail: Amy.Hennies@dia.iowa.gov

Spencer Program: Serving Clay, Dickinson, O'Brien and Osceola Counties -

Melissa Loehr, Child Advocacy Board Coordinator 712-262-0271, e-mail: melissa.loehr@dia.iowa.gov

Storm Lake Program: Serving Buena Vista, Cherokee, Crawford, Ida, Lyon, Plymouth and Sioux Counties - Kathy Fritz, Child Advocacy Board Coordinator 712-749-5184,

e-mail: kathy.fritz@dia.iowa.gov

Kossuth Program - Serving Emmet, Kossuth, Palo Alto Counties:

Crystal Engstrom, Child Advocacy Board Coordinator 1-866-923-1088, e-mail: crystal.engstrom@dia.iowa.gov

Drug Courts: There are six drug courts functioning in the Third Judicial District as of 2015.

1) Family Treatment Courts (FTC) for Woodbury, Cherokee/Ida, Buena Vista Counties: Judicial Contacts:

Judge Brian Michaelson, Woodbury – Judge Mary Timko, Cherokee, Ida and Buena Vista

Contacts – Family Treatment Court Coordinator, Woodbury County: Samantha Fleckenstein 712- 279-6586 Ext. 238. E-mail: Samantha.Fleckenstein@iowacourts.gov Family Treatment Court Coordinator, **Cherokee, Ida and Buena Vista Counties:** Ashley Christensen 712-279-6700. E-mail: Ashley.Christensen@iowacourts.gov

The effort is a collaboration of judges, attorneys, treatment providers, and Department of Human Service caseworkers who serve as the team members of the families in Family Treatment Court. Families must be in treatment with a licensed provider of in-patient or out-patient substance abuse treatment and have a child who is involved with the Juvenile Court in a CINA proceeding due to drug and alcohol causes.

Each participant's FTC Team consists of the DHS Case Manager, FSRP providers, mental health provider and the domestic violence provider, the County Attorney, the substance abuse provider, the FTC Coordinator, the guardian ad litem or court-appointed special advocate, and the counsel for the parent. The Team uses assessment information to develop a holistic treatment plan tailored to each participant's needs. The Team refers participants to treatment, including individual and/or group therapy; crisis intervention; counseling for victims of physical, emotional, and sexual abuse; and special focus groups (peer-based and professionally monitored). If needed, the Team refers clients to such trainings as

anger management, problem solving, assertiveness, coping, values formation, and parenting skills. The Team encourages use of Parent Partners and sponsors, strong linkages with self-help groups such as Alcoholics Anonymous, Narcotics Anonymous, and gender-specific, age-specific, and culturally-relevant programs and requires a Recovery Meeting prior to every court session. As appropriate, the Team refers clients to community-based providers for life skills development, mental health services, medical services, and infant/childhood development courses. Team members engage extended families to help motivate and support the participant through successful completion.

Judicial supervision: Judges 1) supervise and reinforce treatment by discussing recovery obstacles with participants during their court appearances; 2) discuss each participant's progress in front of all, encouraging participants to engage in the discussions; 3) reward compliance with incentives, 4) admonish non-compliance, and 5) assign appropriate sanctions. Typically, Woodbury County participants meet weekly with the Judge and Cherokee/Ida and Buena Vista participants meet with the Judge biweekly.

2) Woodbury County-Juvenile/Adult Drug Court

Contact –Alicia Porsch – Drug Court Coordinator 712-279-6586 X210 e-mail: alicia.porsch@iowacourts.gov.

Participants are referred to Drug Court from Juvenile Court Services and the Department of Correctional Services (adults). Adults are court ordered to the program. Participants have a four level program they need to achieve before graduation. Upon selection, community judges begin a brief but intensive training with formal judges and probation officers on the following subjects: addiction; the organizational structure of the community-panel drug court program; the role and responsibilities of community judges; and the interaction between judicial, educational, and treatment providers in the area. Once completed, the panel members are assigned to panels with probation officers and begin to meet with clients. They are also required to attend continuing training classes each quarter.

Each month, the panel members meet with probation officers over dinner and staff the cases to be seen that evening. The officers report on the individual's monthly progress in treatment, school, extracurricular activities, work, attendance at 12-step meetings, etc. They then meet with the group of drug court participants individually. The other participants stay in the court room and listen. The panel questions the individual on their progress and makes assignments for the next month's session.

3) Plymouth County Drug Court –Juvenile/Adult Drug Court

Coordinator: Don Nore, 712-540-6278 (volunteer), e-mail: ddnore@hotmail.com

Plymouth County, Iowa is a county that created one of the first community-panel drug courts requiring that juvenile and adult offenders answered to community volunteers rather than a judge. The community-panel drug court has reported one of the lowest recidivism rates in the United States.

Participants are referred to Drug Court from Juvenile Court Services and the Department of Correctional Services (adults). Adults are court ordered to the program. Participants have a four level program they need to achieve before graduation. Upon selection, community judges begin a brief but intensive training with formal judges and probation officers on the following subjects: addiction; the organizational structure of the community-panel drug court program; the role and responsibilities of community judges; and the interaction between judicial, educational, and treatment providers in the area. Once completed, the panel members are assigned to panels with the probation officers and begin to meet with clients. They are also required to attend continuing training classes each quarter.

4) West Central Iowa Drug Court Program-serving Crawford, Ida, Cherokee and Monona counties

Judge-led model (Judge Edward Jacobson) of drug court which serves adult offenders.

Up to 15 Ida County adult probationers accepted into Drug Court are seen by Judge Jacobson every other Friday at 10:30 AM. At 1:30 PM on the Friday, Drug Court is held on a rotating basis in Crawford, Monona and Cherokee counties. Participants are assessed a fee for their participation. An apple fundraiser is also held each year to provide funding for graduation certificates, cakes, etc. This program terminated in 2016.

5) Clay County Drug Court – Juvenile Drug Court

Contact Person: Kim Wilson, County Coordinator 712-262-9438, e-mail: kwilson@co.clay.ia.us

Clay County, Iowa is a county that created one of the first community-panel drug courts requiring that juvenile offenders answered to community volunteers rather than a judge. The community-panel drug court has reported one of the lowest recidivism rates in the United States.

Participants are referred to Drug Court from Juvenile Court Services. Participants have a four level program they need to achieve before graduation. There are two panels in Clay County. On the first and third Wednesdays of the month, the panel members meet with probation officers over dinner and staff the cases to be seen that evening. The Judge is available to consult on the various cases.

6) Veteran's Treatment Court – Adult Criminal Court

Contact Person: Coordinator - Pam Calhoun – Assistant District Court Administrator, 712-279-6035, e-mail: pam.calhoun@iowacourts.gov

This is the first Veteran's Treatment Court in the State of Iowa. Woodbury County Veterans with prior verifiable service incarcerated in the Woodbury County jail who are pre-adjudication with mental health and substance abuse problems may be accepted into the VTC program. They are seen by Judge Jeffrey Poulson every 2 weeks and must commit to a 2 year program of intensive supervision, drug testing and mental health and substance abuse services. The program celebrated its first participant graduation in 2016.

The program has 5 phases: Orientation/Phase 1 – Minimum of 30 days, Phase 2 – Boots on the Ground – Minimum of 90 Days, Phase 3 – Mission Ready – Minimum of 90 Days, Phase 4 – Return to Base – Minimum of 5 months Phase 5 – Mission Accomplished – Commencement, Participating agencies staff the case on alternating Fridays at noon, and the participants are seen before the Judge in a 1:30 session the same day. Program utilizes VTC Mentors (Retired military) and also the use of Incentives/Sanctions.

Mental Health Court – Project Compass

Contact person: District Associate Judge John Nelson 712-279-6026; Sean Finnegan –712-202-0173
e-mail: sfinnegan@siouxlandmentalhealth.com

Project Compass was initiated in conjunction with the Woodbury County Sheriff's Department in an effort to serve 1) individuals in the jail system who suffer from a mental illness, chemical dependency, or a dual diagnosis 2) Inmates who are approaching their release date from jail or 3) Inmates or anyone who has been in the jail in the previous 60 days and who want to take a new direction in empowering themselves to take charge of their lives. Referrals are made from the Woodbury County Jail system. After such referral, and an evaluation by a doctor from SMH, a Project Compass Case Manager from Siouxland Mental Health will complete an assessment to determine if the inmate meets the criteria for Case Management Services. After the assessment is completed, the Case Manager will gather pertinent information to assist in determining if the case will be accepted or denied. A Case Manager is assigned to each individual accepted into Project Compass and will assist, through a team process, in developing goals which can help resolve problems the individual is experiencing in basic human needs, mental/medical services, application for various types of assistance, and accessing affordable housing. Case Managers assess individuals for appropriateness for Mental Health Court. If referred, the individual is responsible to carrying out recommendations from the court and the support team and having regular court reviews to track their progress. Completion of the program may result in reduced charges.

Cross-over Youth Practice Model

Contact person: Leesa McNeil, District Court Administrator 712-279-6608 e-mail: leesa.mcneil@iowacourts.gov
Woodbury County has been selected to participate in the Crossover Youth Practice Model – a practice model for promoting improvements for work with crossover youth (those engaged in both child welfare and juvenile delinquency). This project was grant funded from 2008-2012 and is a joint venture of Georgetown University's Center for Juvenile Justice Reform (CJJR) and the Casey Family Programs and extension of a project initiated in 2008 to address this at-risk population. The court is implementing a practice model that is designed to strengthen how the juvenile justice, child welfare and other related systems of care serve crossover youth. It is hoped that the pilot project work in Woodbury County can be spread throughout the Third Judicial District as well as the State. Currently, the project is testing a statewide notification system through the Data Warehouse at CJJP. DHS and JCS date on Crossover Youths is entered and matched daily. Information on the cases is sent to the respective Judicial Districts and DHS Service Areas for collaboration purposes.

Juvenile Detention Alternative Initiative (JDAI)

Information available from CJCO Gary Niles 712-279-6586 Ext. 219, e-mail: gary.niles@iowacourts.gov
The intent of the Juvenile Detention Alternative Initiative (JDAI) is to change policies, practices and programs so as to ensure that only those youth who are the greatest risk to public safety are held in secure detention. The overall goals of JDAI are to protect public safety, reduce the unnecessary or inappropriate use of secure detention, and to redirect public finances to more effective purposes. The effort, a partnership with the Annie E. Casey Foundation, is grounded in core strategies including collaboration; reliance on data; objective admissions screening; alternatives to secure detention; strategies to reduce racial disparities where they exist; expedited case processing; and rigorous facility inspections. CJJP (Criminal Juvenile Justice Program) serves as the state level coordinating center for detention reform efforts. A major point of implementation for JDAI relates to the efforts taking place in local sites in Black Hawk, Polk, and Woodbury Counties. At the state level administrative oversight is being provided by the Governor's Youth Race and Detention Task Force, Iowa's Juvenile Justice Advisory Council (JJAC), and the JJAC's Disproportionate Minority Contact Committee. The project formally concluded in 2012 though the changes made as a result of the effort continue to influence practice. The group is currently working on a statewide detention screening tool that will be used in all districts.

Family Law Mediation


Beginning in September, 2013, Judge Neary led a task force that started a family law mediation process for court cases. Individual judges may elect to order mediation on their individually assigned cases. During 2016, the following judges ordered mediation on the number of cases indicated: Judge Lester-43 cases; Judge Neary – 46 cases, Judge Whittenburg – 45 cases, Judge Petersen – 32 cases, Judge Courtney – 3 cases, and Judge Carr – 1 case. A total of 170 cases were assigned to mediation in 2016. This compared to 154 cases in 2015. A list of mediators and collaborative attorneys is listed on the court website – Third District section.

Therapeutic Court Cases - 2016

MH=Mental Health Court
 FTC=Family Treatment Court
 JDC=Juvenile Drug Court
 ADC=Adult Drug Court

	participants (avg per mo)	completions (actual)	panelists (avg per mo)
Veteran's Court	7	1	0
MH court	42	25	0
Cherokee/ Ida FTC	4	5	0
Woodbury FTC	24	19	0
Buena Vista FTC	10	5	0
Clay JDC	2	1	4
Plymouth JDC	4	2	4
Plymouth ADC	23	7	9
Woodbury JDC	16	20	20
Woodbury ADC	60	12	25

Therapeutic Court Cases- 2016




INDIVIDUAL ASSIGNMENTS REPORT 2016


JUDGE ASSIGNED	JURY	FAMILY	NON-JURY	PROBATE	A FELONY	TOTALS
ACKERMAN	20	64	23	7	0	114
ANDREASEN	21	63	22	5	0	111
CARR	25	57	26	5	0	113
COURTNEY	25	56	28	7	0	116
HOFFMEYER	20	63	20	6	1	110
JACOBSON	23	64	20	9	1	117
LESTER	24	57	25	6	1	113
NEARY	20	65	23	6	0	114
PETERSON	24	58	25	4	0	111
POULSON	22	62	21	8	1	114
TOTT	0	0	0	0	0	0
WHITTENBURG	24	57	26	6	0	113
MONTHLY TOTALS	268	730	281	73	5	1357
2015 totals	271	823	341	47	6.5*	1488.5

*=Juvenile resentencing cases counted as 0.5 cases.

POPULATION MIGRATION ESTIMATE – APRIL 1, 2010 - JULY 1, 2015




NOTE: Top figure represents the annual inflow of domestic population; middle figure represents the annual international inflow; and bottom figure represents the sum of the domestic and international inflow and outflow, estimated between April 1, 2014–July 1, 2015.




Statewide Estimated 5-Year Change 2010–2015:
 Domestic Change: -7,170
 International Change: +29,280
 Net Migration Change: +22,110

Statewide Estimated 1-Year Change 2014–2015:
 Domestic Change: -3,949
 International Change: +6,409
 Net Migration Change: +2,460


Top States for Iowa Movers – 2014-2015



Highest Domestic Net Migration Gain – 2014-2015



Highest Domestic Net Migration Loss – 2014-2015



Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Estimates of the Components of Resident Population Change

2016 PERSONNEL ALLOCATION

(Numbers represent full-time equivalent positions) Table 21

OFFICE	Authorized 1/1/2016	Authorized 12/31/2016	DIFFERENCE	
DIST COURT ADMIN	16.00	17.00	1.00	*
COURT REPORTER	19.75	19.75	0.00	
JUVENILE COURT	28.67	28.67	0.00	
DRUG COURT	3.00	3.00	0.00	
CLERKS:				
BUENA VISTA	6.00	6.50	0.50	**
CHEROKEE	3.00	3.00	0.00	
CLAY	5.50	6.00	0.50	***
CRAWFORD	4.00	4.00	0.00	
DICKINSON	6.00	6.00	0.00	
EMMET	2.65	2.65	0.00	
IDA	2.33	2.33	0.00	
KOSSUTH	3.50	3.00	(0.50)	**
LYON	2.00	2.00	0.00	
MONONA	3.00	3.00	0.00	
O'BRIEN	3.47	3.00	(0.47)	***
OSCEOLA	2.00	2.00	0.00	
PALO ALTO	2.00	2.00	0.00	**
PLYMOUTH	5.00	5.00	0.00	
SIOUX	5.75	5.75	0.00	
WOODBURY	27.00	26.00	(1.00)	*
SUB-TOTAL--CLERKS	83.20	82.23	(0.97)	
DISTRICT TOTAL				
(Excluding Judges)	150.62	150.65	0.03	
JUDGES	42.00	41.00	(1.00)	

2016 CHANGES IN JUDICIAL POSITION

Senior Judge Walsh retired on 4/29/2016
 Senior Judge Wenell retired on 6/2/2016
 District Court Judge Sokolovske retired on 7/28/2016. She became a Senior Judge on 7/29/2016
 Judge Sokolovske's position was held open for 6 months and not filled in calendar year 2016

* DCA - One FTE was taken from the Woodbury Co Clerk component and added to the DCA component
 This was filled as a Judicial Assistant position on 7/5/2016,

** The retirement of Mary Munn (PA Clerk) on 1/28/16 resulted in the following changes:
 Joann Kinnetz was promoted to a Clerk of Court III and supervises BV, KS and PA Counties.
 Mary Ellen's FTE was split up as follows:
 .50 FTE was added to a .50 FTE employee making that employee full-time and she was moved from KS
 Co. to PA Co. The remaining .50 FTE was added to the BV Clerk's office

*** The resignation of a .50 FTE in CL County and a .47 FTE in OB County resulted in a .97 combined
 vacancy. That vacancy was filled as a 1.00 FTE in CL County with .03 FTE being added from SCA.

As of 12/31/2016 there are 10.25 vacant FTE in the 3rd Judicial District as follows:
 DCA - 1.00 (Law Clerk)
 BV - 1.50; CH - 1.00; CL - 1.00; PL - 1.00; SX - 1.75; WD - 3.00

NOTE: LAYOFF EFFECTIVE 11/12/2009 RESULTED IN A LOSS OF 28.93 FTE

6.80 additional FTEs were allocated to the Third Judicial District in FY2014

2016 Interpreter/Interpreter Coordinator report

Table 23

During 2016 there was an increase in language diversity in the Third Judicial District compared to last year. This year we provided in-person interpreters for three more languages than last year. We also provided 3 more languages for telephonic interpretation than last year. Furthermore, the number of proceedings where an interpreter was required to help a party with limited English proficiency increased from 711 to 999.

For Spanish language we only have three certified interpreters in the district and fourteen for the whole state. This makes it difficult to provide a certified interpreter for all proceedings where one is required; however, this district has been able to provide a certified interpreter to assist in the majority of proceedings where there were one or more Spanish speakers. We have secured interpreters from other counties as well as Nebraska and Minnesota, but the majority were covered by our district interpreters including our staff interpreter who covered 532 proceedings. For languages other than Spanish we were able to locate qualified interpreters in different parts of the country and we helped other districts locate interpreters.

The following report includes interpreting services for LEP individuals for the year 2016; it includes interpretation provided by the Third Judicial District staff interpreter/interpreter coordinator who secured the majority of interpreters.

Counties	Amharic	ASL	Chukese	Greek	Hmong	Japanese	Karen	Laotian	Mai Mai	Mandarin	Nepali	Nuer	Pohnpeian	Somali	Spanish	Thai-Karen	Tigrinya	Vietnamese	Total	Staff interpreter	% of Spanish covered by Staff interpreter
BV	6				2		2	2				3	4	2	118			3	142	65	55%
CH						1									4				5	2	50%
CL															5				5	1	20%
CR															62				62	30	48%
DK															1				1		0%
EM															1				1		0%
ID															2				2		0%
KS															5				5		0%
LY											2				13				15	5	38%
MO		2													2				4	1	50%
OB															9				9	4	44%
OS															20				20	13	65%
PA																					0%
PL															8				8	5	63%
SX	1									1					312				314	97	31%
WD	1	1	1	2				2	3					9	382	1	3	1	406	309	81%
Total	8	3	1	2	2	1	2	4	3	1	2	3	4	11	944	1	3	4	999	532	56%

CTS Language Link Language	Minutes	Calls	Avg length in min	% of total calls	Total Charge	Cost per minute
ARABIC	7.70	1	7.70	1.85%	\$4.77	\$0.62
HINDI	7.80	1	7.80	1.85%	\$4.84	\$0.62
KAREN	15.20	1	15.20	1.85%	\$9.42	\$0.62
KOREAN	14.70	2	7.35	3.70%	\$9.11	\$0.62
OROMO	19.30	2	9.65	3.70%	\$11.97	\$0.62
SOMALI	3.70	1	3.70	1.85%	\$2.29	\$0.62
SPANISH	454.00	45	10.09	83.33%	\$281.48	\$0.62
VIETNAMESE	9.70	1	9.70	1.85%	\$6.01	\$0.62
Totals	532.10	54	9.85	100.00%	\$329.90	

Language Line Language	Calls	Minutes	Avg. call length	% of total calls	Cost	Cost per minute
AMHARIC	1	29	29.00	1%	\$36.25	\$1.25
CHUUKESSE	3	38	12.67	3%	\$47.50	\$1.25
FRENCH	2	10	5.00	2%	\$12.50	\$1.25
KAREN	4	81	20.25	4%	\$101.25	\$1.25
LAOTIAN	1	2	2.00	1%	\$2.50	\$1.25
OROMO	2	28	14.00	2%	\$35.00	\$1.25
RUSSIAN	1	20	20.00	1%	\$25.00	\$1.25
SOMALI	2	27	13.50	2%	\$33.75	\$1.25
SPANISH	79	1088	13.77	79%	\$1,360.00	\$1.25
SUDANESE	1	24	24.00	1%	\$30.00	\$1.25
VIETNAMESE	4	94	23.50	4%	\$117.50	\$1.25
Totals	100	1441	14.41	100%	\$1,801.25	\$1.25
Grand total calls		1495				

Table 24

Total e-filed cases*	154853	106867	143386	119145	114092	69448	76603	64245	41042	98174	76600	29940	53784	294622	206965	1299970	
Cases filed 2016:	BV	CH	CL	CR	DK	EM	ID	KS	LY	MO	OB	OS	PA	PL	SX	WD	Total
Aggravated Misdemeanor	747	417	542	380	480	204	138	318	147	302	302	306	306	777	523	3985	9874
Administrative	19	7	53	6	14	15	5	6	8	11	11	4	4	6	8	442	619
Adoption	36	31	38	37	27	30	19	18	20	17	17	41	41	91	131	566	1160
Dissolution	506	356	564	518	422	230	233	308	145	256	256	343	343	991	707	4094	10272
Civil Infraction	225	5	29	102	12	0	15	2	4	36	36	108	108	10	91	1435	2218
Change of Name	42	13	29	43	31	11	20	23	15	25	25	18	18	88	81	368	850
General Civil	49	26	52	44	73	20	19	29	54	57	57	30	30	60	98	1050	1748
Domestic Abuse	61	57	158	125	69	52	22	46	32	77	77	75	75	149	124	1375	2574
Domestic Relations	556	319	540	614	305	275	221	351	138	288	288	306	306	221	0	6653	11381
Elder Abuse	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	753	423	8	1188
Equity	186	166	1	163	183	94	66	88	71	140	140	94	94	444	232	1720	3882
Estates	415	474	152	490	560	220	306	545	244	417	417	477	477	1167	982	1896	9239
Felonies	981	515	443	570	636	341	191	610	315	480	480	461	461	1167	896	4832	13379
Guardianships / Conserv	184	155	755	214	136	109	89	148	58	93	93	179	179	236	267	1285	4180
Investigative Filings	187	54	149	77	84	34	49	67	86	50	50	47	47	251	228	374	1834
Juvenile	632	340	254	340	291	266	274	194	131	169	169	308	308	817	441	4526	4934
Civil Law	375	172	488	318	558	226	123	217	203	228	228	232	232	757	640	3424	8421
Lien	44	18	498	36	25	12	14	23	12	38	38	15	15	89	54	428	1359
Mental Health - Adult	288	255	37	186	444	127	112	129	34	199	199	179	179	532	196	4589	7685
Mental Health - Juvenile	91	37	547	43	60	31	19	27	6	40	40	39	39	112	51	537	1719
Non-Sched Tfc	1388	632	124	822	593	348	277	441	126	618	618	462	462	1925	1313	5389	15538
OWI	592	374	967	456	976	289	172	392	249	508	508	423	423	1244	951	5616	14140
Post Conviction Relief	10	3	597	11	4	4	0	6	1	9	9	1	1	17	13	127	813
Small Claims	1995	2114	5	1694	1911	1335	622	756	767	1040	1040	1211	1211	3572	1950	22183	43406
Simple Misdemeanor	2881	1433	2249	1604	2604	1607	610	1548	510	1539	1539	1649	1649	2511	1655	31199	56787
Seized Property	77	5	2671	29	30	10	6	3	11	12	12	7	7	64	64	530	3538
Serious Misdemeanor	784	521	55	569	808	418	155	616	262	549	549	427	427	1262	878	8372	16652
Sched Tfc	16657	7976	859	10275	17705	4808	5338	6227	9926	15552	15552	7922	7922	24085	27937	70509	249250
Search Warrant	288	119	14165	125	152	102	50	90	96	125	125	93	93	332	145	613	16713
Transfer of Judgment	9	7	256	6	15	13	5	4	5	6	6	4	4	18	6	14	378
Trusts	51	102	6	69	65	32	53	56	31	43	43	114	114	70	108	135	1092
Uniform Support	2	16	92	99	59	34	17	31	13	29	29	18	18	15	79	1035	1586
Total	30358	16719	27375	20065	29334	11297	9240	13319	13720	22953	22953	15594	15594	43833	41272	189309	518409
2016 Registered Accounts	Attorneys (AT)	County Attorneys / Assistants	Agents	Registered Filers	Government Agencies	Specialized Non-Party Filers	Law Enforcement	Other (ProHacVice/DOC/CASA)	Total External Registrations	Court Users Registered	Total e-Filer Registrations	Attorney Registrations in Iowa Counties	Attorney Registrations in Other States				
														Count	7,413	1,002	13,164

*Since implementation of EDMS