

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF IOWA**

No. 1-480 / 11-0706  
Filed June 29, 2011

**IN THE INTEREST OF T.D.N.J. and J.L.J.S,  
Minor Children,**

**Q.D.T., Mother,  
Appellant.**

---

Appeal from the Iowa District Court for Woodbury County, Mary L. Timko,  
Associate Juvenile Judge.

A mother appeals from the order terminating her parental rights.

**AFFIRMED.**

Angela H. Kayl, Sioux City, for appellant mother.

Thomas J. Miller, Attorney General, Janet Hoffman, Assistant Attorney  
General, Patrick Jennings, County Attorney, and Jayme Kirsch, Assistant County  
Attorney, for appellee State.

Michelle Hynes of Juvenile Law Center, Sioux City, for minor children.

Considered by Eisenhauer, P.J., and Potterfield and Tabor, JJ.

**EISENHAUER, P.J.**

A mother appeals the termination of her parental rights to her children. She does not dispute the grounds for termination but contends the State failed to make reasonable efforts to reunify her with the children. She fails to state where in the record she objected to the services offered or requested additional services and, accordingly, has not preserved error on appeal. See *In re L.M.W.*, 518 N.W.2d 804, 807 (Iowa Ct. App. 1994) (holding a request for services or a challenge to the sufficiency of services must be made prior to the termination hearing in order to preserve error). Furthermore, her failure to make a specific argument on appeal rather than a general claim the DHS “did not use diligent efforts to keep the children with their mother” and “did not provide services” waives error. See *In re C.B.*, 611 N.W.2d 489, 492 (Iowa 2000) (“A broad, all encompassing argument is insufficient to identify error in cases of de novo review.”). Accordingly, we affirm.

**AFFIRMED.**