

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF IOWA

No. 7-650 / 06-2073
Filed October 12, 2007

**IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF RICHARD
NICHOLAS COLEMAN AND JILL DIANE
COLEMAN**

**Upon the Petition of
RICHARD NICHOLAS COLEMAN,**
Petitioner-Appellant,

**And Concerning
JILL DIANE COLEMAN,**
Respondent-Appellee.

Appeal from the Iowa District Court for Humboldt County, Kurt L. Wilke,
Judge.

Richard Coleman appeals from the decree dissolving his marriage to Jill
Coleman. **AFFIRMED.**

Dan McGrevey, Fort Dodge, for appellant.

Steven Sandblom of Baker, Johnsen & Sandblom, Humboldt, and Anjela
Shutts of Whitfield & Eddy, P.L.C., Des Moines, for appellee.

Considered by Huitink, P.J., and Vogel and Baker, JJ.

BAKER, J.

Richard and Jill Coleman were married in 1993. No children were born during the marriage. In November 2005, Richard filed a petition seeking to dissolve his marriage to Jill. Following a subsequent trial, the court granted the petition and dissolved the marriage. It divided the assets and debts of the parties, awarding Richard approximately \$114,000 in net assets and Jill approximately \$110,000.

On appeal from this decree, Richard contends the district court inequitably distributed that property. In particular, he maintains the court improperly allocated the parties' Bode real estate, a debt due to his mother, tickets to a country music festival, cash, a ring, and a boat. Upon our de novo review of the record, see *Zinger v. Zinger*, 243 N.W.2d 639, 640 (Iowa 1976), we disagree.

We generally accord the district court considerable latitude and will disturb the court's property distribution determinations only when there has been a failure to do equity. *In re Marriage of Schriener*, 695 N.W.2d 493, 496 (Iowa 2005). We believe this is an appropriate case to apply that deference. Viewed in its entirety, the district court's property distribution does equity between the parties. We have considered all issues raised on appeal. We find the district court's division of property to be fair and equitable and affirm the property distribution as set forth by the district court.

AFFIRMED.