

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF IOWA

No. 7-912 / 07-0719
Filed January 16, 2008

**IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF SUSAN ANN
DAYTON AND JEFFREY BRUCE DAYTON**

**Upon the Petition of
SUSAN ANN DAYTON, n/k/a SUSAN
HORNBACK,**
Petitioner-Appellee,

**And Concerning
JEFFREY BRUCE DAYTON,**
Respondent-Appellant.

Appeal from the Iowa District Court for Polk County, Glenn E. Pille, Judge.

The respondent appeals from the district court's order entering a judgment against him for past due child support. **AFFIRMED.**

C. Jean Pendleton, West Des Moines, and Patricia Shoff, Des Moines, for appellant.

Jennifer Jaskolka-Brown, West Des Moines, for appellee.

Considered by Vogel, P.J., and Mahan and Zimmer, JJ.

VOGEL, P.J.

Jeffrey Dayton appeals from the district court's order enforcing a prior modification decree and entering a judgment against Jeffrey for past due child support. On appeal, Jeffrey argues the district court erred in construing the modification decree. Upon our de novo review, we find the district court accurately set forth the facts, made rational credibility assessments, and correctly applied the appropriate law. See Iowa R. App. P. 6.4; see also *In re Marriage of Woodward*, 229 N.W.2d 274, 277 (Iowa 1975) (“[A] court’s construction of its own decree is given great weight in determining what the decree means.”). We agree with the district court’s construction of the parties’ modification decree, which construed the term “net bonuses” to include commissions and stock options. See *In re Marriage of Lawson*, 409 N.W.2d 181, 182 (Iowa 1987) (discussing the interpretation and enforcement of a final judgment). Therefore, we affirm pursuant to Iowa Court Rule 21.29(1)(a), (d), and (e).

AFFIRMED.